

PROCEEDINGS OF THE PERMIT GRANT COMMITTEE VIRTUAL MEETING OF STA, ODISHA, CUTTACK HELD ON 15TH DECEMBER, 2020 IN THE 7TH FLOOR CONFERENCE HALL OF TRANSPORT COMMISSIONER-CUM-CHAIRMAN, STA, ODISHA, CUTTACK THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING DUE TO COVID-19.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

1. Shri Sanjeeb Panda, I.P.S. Chairman.
Transport Commissioner-Cum-Chairman,
STA, Odisha, Cuttack.
2. Shri Brajabandhu Bhol, OAS(SAG), Member.
Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack.
3. Mrs. Kanak Champa Meher, OAS(I).. Member.
Deputy Secretary, STA, Odisha, Cuttack.

At the outset the Chairman, STA welcomed all the participants to the virtual meeting.

1. **ROUTE –** PHULBANI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA NAYAGARH, RAJ SUNAKHALA AND BACK, THE MANAGING DIRECTOR O S R T C, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD123675.

Applicant i.e. representative of OSRTC is absent.

Objector Sri Upendra Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD02AZ-6199 and OD02AP-8199 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Phulbani in respect of his above two vehicles. The departure time of his first vehicle No.OD02AZ-6199 at Phulbani in up trip is 5.35AM whereas the applicant has applied at 5.30AM i.e. five minutes ahead of his service. Arrival time of his said vehicle at Bhubaneswar is 11.00AM whereas the applicant has applied to reach BBSR at 11.35AM. In down trip also, the applicant has applied to operate 40 minutes prior to his vehicle. Hence, he requested that the applicant may be allotted timings after his service from both points i.e. Phulbani and Bhubaneswar.

This may be considered by exchanging the timing between this objector and the applicant.



2. **ROUTE –** RAYAGADA TO BHAWANIPATANA VIA BISSAMCUTTACK, MUNIGUDA AND BACK, M D OSRTC BBSR, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD18A8106.

Applicant is absent.

There is one objection filed by Sri Prakash Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OR08F-3339 through Advocate Sri A.K.Mohanty. He stated that his vehicle is departing Rayagada at 5.15AM whereas the applicant has applied at 6.05AM. The arrival time of his vehicle at Rayagada is at 19.00hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to arrive Rayagada at 19.13. The objector is claiming that since he is PP holder, the TP applied by the applicant should not be considered.

Since, there is a sufficient time gap, the objection made by the objector does not merit consideration.

3. **ROUTE –** RAYAGADA TO BHAWANIPATANA VIA KALYANSINGHPUR, BENAGAON AND BACK, M.D.OSRTC BBSR, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD18A8108.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

4. **ROUTE –** PADAMPUR TO GOLAMUNDA VIA KANTABANJI, BANGOMUNDA AND BACK, CHAIRMAN-CUM-MANAGING DIRECTOR, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BC6649.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

5. **ROUTE –** BHAWANIPATANA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BHANJANAGAR, BELAGUNTHA AND BACK, THE CHAIRMAN CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD08G7053.

Applicant is absent.

There is an objection filed by Sri Surendra Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD02Z-6757 is represented by Advocate Sri D.B.Das. He stated that he is an existing operator on the route Bhubaneswar to Dharamgarh via Balangir, Bhawanipatna. The timing of proposed route of applicant from Bhubaneswar to Bhawanipatna is clashing which is 472 kms. His departure time from Bhubaneswar is 7.40PM whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Bhubaneswar at

7.40PM which is same time. He further stated that his another vehicle No.OD02D-7857 is also plying from Bhubaneswar to Bhawanipatna as alter service of his first vehicle. At Khurda, the service of applicant departs at 8.23PM i.e. 5 minutes before objector's service.

This is alter service of vehicle No.OD08G-7054 applied by the applicant in sl.no.6. The OSRTC has applied in different alignment. It may be verified and considered subject to clash free time.

6. **ROUTE –** BHAWANIPATANA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BHANJANAGAR, BELAGUNTHA AND BACK, THE CHAIRMAN CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD08G7054.

Applicant is absent. This is alter service of sl.no.5. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

7. **ROUTE –** BHAWANIPATANA TO BERHAMPUR VIA RAIKIA, G. UDAYAGIRI AND BACK, THE M D, O S R T C BHUBANESWAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD08A6629.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

8. **ROUTE –** GARPOSH TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA DUDHIANALI, REAMAL AND BACK, GOPABANDHU PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05F8676.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

9. **ROUTE –** BISRA TO BHUBANESWAR VIA KHAMAR AND BACK, MANOJ KUMAR MOHAPATRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AW2939.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time. This is an alter service of OD16F-9399.

10. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KUCHINDA VIA BUDHAPAL, CHHATABAR AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR MAHALA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD11T0144.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time. This is an alter service of Sl.11.

11. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KUCHINDA VIA BUDHAPAL, CHHATABAR AND BACK, RANJAN KUMAR MAHALA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD11T0143.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time. This is an alter service of Sl.10.

12. **ROUTE –** ROURKELA TO RAJKANIKA VIA DHENKANAL, MANGULI AND BACK, SUCHITRA MALLICK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AP0770.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

13. **ROUTE –** ROURKELA TO BODEN VIA BARGARH, SOHELA AND BACK, SUDHIRA BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD22A5323.

Applicant is absent. There is an objection filed by Sri Indarjit Singh, owner of vehicle No. OD16-3697. He stated that there is clash of time from Rourkela to Kutra. His service is departing Rourkela at 17.00hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Rourkela at 17.00hrs. which is same. He further stated that the proposed timing given by the applicant is not in vacant slot of Sundargarh - Rorkelafinal rationalized slots. He stated that, if the applicant will be considered for TP, then the timing may be given after the service of this objector from Ranibandha up to Rourkela . He has filed an online objection mentioning the same contents of objection as mentioned above.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

14. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO SINAPALI VIA BOUDH, SONEPUR AND BACK, UPENDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02V8199.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

15. **ROUTE –** ROURKELA TO CHANDBALI VIA DUBURI, JAJPUR ROAD AND BACK, RATNAKAR BAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AT0325.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri S.S.Mishra. He stated that he has applied to operate his vehicle as night service. Following objectors have raised their objections.

1. Sri K.K. Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OS22H-3772 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela. His service is departing Rourkela at 19.10 hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to depart Rourkela at 19.35hrs. On the midway at Pallahara the vehicle of the applicant will overtake the objectors' vehicle and reach Chandbali one hour prior to the service of this objector. The departure time gap at starting point may be maintained.
2. Sri Purusottam Pallai, owner of OR05AA-8877 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the departure time of his service at Chandbali is 17.20hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart at 18.05hrs. In down trip his service is departing Rourkela at 19.10hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart at 19.35hrs. Objector stated that the timings proposed by the applicant is irrational. Hence, he requested that the applicant may be allowed to operate his vehicle after his service i.e. to depart Chandbali after 18.30hrs. and to depart Rourkela after 20.30hrs.
3. Sri Sitikanta Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD22D-5899 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the service of objector is plying local service and departs Chandbali at 18.10hrs. and reach Bhadrakh at 20.11hrs whereas the applicant proposed to depart Chandbali at 18.05hrs to reach Bhadrakh at 20.04hrs which is just 5 minutes ahead of the service of the objector but reach Bhadrakh 7 minutes prior to it. The clash of time is from Chandbali to Bhadrakh. The distance from Chandbali to Bhadrakh is 76 kms. Hence, he requested that the applicant may be given time after his service.

Applicant stated that the departure time at Rourkela and Chandbali may be maintained and timing may be rationalised as per policy of STA.

4. Sri B.K.Sadangi, Unit in-charge of OSRTC, Rourkela sated that they are operating vehicle No.OR14W-4145 on the route Rourkela to Chandbali since last 12 years on the strength of a

Q

permanent permit . There is clash of time at Bhuban, Duburi and Panikoili stoppages. Besides, applicant proposed time is overtaking their service at Duburi. Hence, he requested that the timing may be allotted to the applicant after service of OSRTC as per the policy of STA.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

16. **ROUTE –** BALUGAON TO MOHANTY CHHAK (UDAYPUR) VIA BHUBANESWAR, CUTTACK, CHANDANESWAR AND BACK, DEBABRATA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05P3231.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri S.S.Mishra.

Following objectors have raised their objections.

1. Sri H.S.Senapati, owner of vehicle No.OR01T-5315 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the applicant has applied to operate as alter service of OR05AW-2643, PP of which is valid till 03.01.2022. He stated that applicant may be given after his service.
2. Sri Brundaban Gaana, owner of vehicle No.OD05H-2888 is represented by Advocate Shri Abhay Kumar Behera. He stated that he has same objection as raised by Sri H.S.Senapati, owner of vehicle No.OR01T-5315.

The matter may be examined.

17. **ROUTE –** SINAPALI TO PURI VIA BOUDH, CHARICHHAK AND BACK, JANARDAN PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD08N1355.

Applicant is absent. This is alter service of sl.no.18. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

18. **ROUTE –** SINAPALI TO PURI VIA BOUDH, CHARICHHAK AND BACK, JANARDAN PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD08N1455.

Applicant is absent. This is alter service of sl.no.17. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.



19. **ROUTE –** BERHAMPUR TO FERTILIZER VIA BOUDH, RAIRAKHOL AND BACK, P ASHUTOSH ACHARY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05N0770.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

20. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO MV 79 VIA LAXMIPUR, KORAPUT AND BACK, DILLIP KUMAR HINDIA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD30D0603.

Applicant is absent. This is alter service of sl.no.21. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

21. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO MV 79 VIA LAXMIPUR, KORAPUT AND BACK, DILLIP KUMAR HINDIA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD30D0606.

Applicant is absent. This is alter service of sl.no.20. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

22. **ROUTE –** BERHAMPUR TO BOLANI VIA-JAJPUR ROAD, ANANDAPUR AND BACK, SUJIT KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BH5157.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri D.B.Das. He stated that this is alter service of sl.No.23. He stated that there is no service from Berhampur to Bolani. This will be the first service between the above two points. He has given all documents like solvency certificate etc.

There is an objection filed by Ms. Pranati Nalini Samantray, owner of vehicle No.OD02AS-7799. She stated that her service is plying on the route Jarada to Rairangpur via Bhubaneswar, Cuttack, Panikoili, Baripada and back. The departure time of objectors' service at Berhampur is 6.00PM whereas the applicant has applied to depart Berhampur at 5.45PM which is 15 minutes ahead of her service. The common corridor is from Berhampur to Rairangpur which covers a distance of 300 kms. Hence, she requested that the

applicant may be given time after her service or time may be exchanged.

Sri D.B.Das, Advocate appearing for applicant is agreed to exchange the time.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

23. **ROUTE –** BERHAMPUR TO BOLANI VIA JAJPUR ROAD, ANANDAPUR AND BACK, SUJIT KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BH5257.

This is alter service of sl.no.22. The observation will be same at par with sl.no.22.

24. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO SINAPALI VIA BOUDH, SONEPUR AND BACK, SATYA BADI TANDI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD26D8977.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K.Mohanty.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

25. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BHAWANIPATANA VIA RAIKHOLO, SONEPUR AND BACK, CHANDRA KANT, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BJ8942.

Applicant is present. He stated that this is alter service of sl.no.26.

There is one objection filed by Sri Surendra Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD02AS-1557 through Advocate Sri D.B.Das. He stated that this objector is plying his service on the route Bhubaneswar to Dharamgarh via Angul, Bolangir and back. There is direct clash of time at Bhubaneswar. His service is departing Bhubaneswar at 8.55PM whereas the applicant has proposed to depart at Bhubaneswar at same time i.e. at 8.55PM. Hence, he requested that the applicant may be given time from Bhubaneswar after his service. Sufficient time gap may be maintained at Bhubaneswar.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

26. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BHAWANIPATANA VIA RAIKAKHOL, SONEPUR AND BACK, CHANDRA KANT, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BJ8943.

This is alter service of sl.no.25. The observation made in sl.no.25 applicable this case.

27. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO LARAMBHA VIA-BOUDH, SONEPUR AND BACK, PRADIP KUMAR RAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02BH1151.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri K.C.Dash. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

28. **ROUTE –** KHARIAR ROAD TO CUTTACK VIA LATHOR, BOLANGIR AND BACK, BIJAY KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD01B2209.

Applicant is absent. This is alter service of OD03E-3755 which is operating on basis of existing permit. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of existing grant of the alter service.

29. **ROUTE –** PURUSHOTTAMPUR TO TIKIRI VIA MOHANA, ADAVA AND BACK, TOFAN PRADHAN OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AV8139.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that this is alter service of sl.No.OR09Q-4901 which is now operating on basis of existing permit. Applicant wants same timings of his alter service.

There is one objection filed by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao on behalf of Sri S.Shyam Babu Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD07X-8778. He stated that the service of objector is plying from Purusottampur to Rayagada via Mohana, Adava and back. He stated that he has no objection if the applicant will be granted timings as his alter service.

30. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO CHANDILI VIA KAKRIGUMMA, DAMANJODI AND BACK, LINGARAJ SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BH5267.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to clash free time.

31. **ROUTE –** CHANDILI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA DAMANJODI, LAXMIPUR AND BACK, MOHIT BAGH, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02H8853.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K.Behera. He stated that this is alter service of sl.no.32. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

32. **ROUTE –** CHANDILI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA DAMANJODI, LAXMIPUR AND BACK, MALAY JOB ASHA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02H8953.

This is alter service of sl.no.31. The observation is same as mentioned in sl.no.31.

33. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO JEYPORE VIA SONEPUR, BOLANGIR AND BACK, PRAKASH CHANDRA TRIPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BJ8156.

Applicant is absent. This is alter service of sl.no.34. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

34. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO JEYPORE VIA SONEPUR, BOLANGIR AND BACK, PRAKASH CHANDRA TRIPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BJ8157.

Applicant is absent. This is alter service of sl.no.33. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

35. **ROUTE –** UMARKOTE TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA DAMANJODI, LAXMIPUR AND BACK, FIROJ KUMAR TURUK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AA4814.

Applicant is present. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.



36. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO NABARANGPUR VIA RAYAGADA, KORAPUT AND BACK, GEETANJALI TRIPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BJ9546.

Applicant is absent. This is alter service of sl.No.37. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

37. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO NABARANGPUR VIA RAYAGADA, KORAPUT AND BACK, GEETANJALI TRIPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BJ9576.

Applicant is absent. This is alter service of sl.No.36. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

38. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KALIMELA VIA RAYAGADA, KORAPUT AND BACK, SUSIM KANTI MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AD8757.

Applicant is present. He stated that this is alter service of sl.no.39. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

39. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KALIMELA VIA RAYAGADA, KORAPUT AND BACK, SUSIM KANTI MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AD8957.

Applicant is present. He stated that this is alter service of sl.no.38. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

40. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KALIMELA VIA LAXMIPUR, KORAPUT AND BACK, RAGHUNATH PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AS6999.

This is alter service of sl. No.41. Both the applicants of sl.no. 40 and sl.no.41 are absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

41. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO KALIMELA VIA LAXMIPUR, KORAPUT AND BACK, NIRANJAN SUNDARAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AS7099.

This is alter service of sl. No.40. Both the applicants of sl.no.40 and sl.no. 41 are absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

42. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO JEYPORE VIA GUMUDA, RAYAGADA AND BACK, ABHAYA KUMAR SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AA5977.

This is alter service of sl. No.43. Both the applicants of sl.no.42 and sl.no. 43 are same and represented by Advocate Sri S.S.Mishra. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

43. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO JEYPORE VIA GUMUDA, RAYAGADA AND BACK, ABHAYA KUMAR SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AA6377.

This is alter service of sl. No.42. Both the applicants of sl.no.42 and sl.no. 43 are same and represented by Advocate Sri S.S.Misshra. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

44. **ROUTE –** CHANDILI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA SUNABEDA, SIMILIGUDA AND BACK, PRAKASH CHANDRA TRIPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD10J9576.

This is alter service of sl. No.45. Both the applicants of sl.no.44 and sl.no. 45 are same owner and is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

45. **ROUTE –** CHANDILI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA SUNABEDA, SIMILIGUDA AND BACK, PRAKASH CHANDRA TRIPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD10J9587.

This is alter service of sl. No.44. Both the applicants of sl.no.44 and sl.no. 45 are same owner and is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

46. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO NABARANGPUR VIA RAYAGADA, DAMANJODI AND BACK, BIJAY KUMAR PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BB0094.

The owner of Sl.No.46 and 47 is same. Applicant is absent. He has applied to ply his two services mentioned in sl.no.46 and 47 as alter service to each other.

There is an online objection filed by Sri Jyotiranjana Parida, owner of vehicle No.OD10G-6555. In the said objection, it has been mentioned that his permit is on same route. He has requested that the timing may be changed. This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

47. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO NABARANGPUR VIA RAYAGADA, DAMANJODI AND BACK, BIJAY KUMAR PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BC0094.

As this is alter service of sl.no.46, observation made at sl.no.46 will apply to this application.

48. **ROUTE –** BOLANI TO BERHAMPUR VIA ANGUL, HINDOL AND BACK, HARAPRIYA ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AH5355.

The owner of Sl.No.48 and 49 is same. Applicant is absent. He has applied to ply his two services as alter service to each other. There are two objectors raised their objections.

1. Sri Mrutyunjaya Panigrahi, owner of vehicle No.OR07U-1907 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that his departure time at Nayagarh is at 4.00AM and the applicant has applied at 3.56AM with 4 minutes gap. He requested that the applicant may be given to depart Nayagarh at 3.30AM. instead of 3.56AM. The common corridor is from Berhampur to Nayagarh. The applicant has applied an irrational timings from Nayagarh to Odagaon which may be rationalized depending upon the distance. Besides, the applicant has not mentioned stoppage at Buguda which is a block headquarter.
2. Sri Krushna Chandra Mahanta, owner of vehicle No.OD09F-7734 is represented by Advocate Sri A.K.Behera. He stated that the



objector is plying his service from Angul to Bolani and his entire route is common corridor. If the applicant will be allowed to operate via Angul, Banarpal, Kamakhyanager, Duburi, this objector has no objection.

This may be verified and considered subject to clash free time.

49. **ROUTE –** BOLANI TO BERHAMPUR VIA ANGUL, HINDOL AND BACK, HARAPRIYA ACHARYA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AH8833.

Since this is alter service of sl.no.48, this may be dealt at par with sl.no.48.

50. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KOTPAD VIA LAXMIPUR, DAMANJODI AND BACK, CHANDRAMANI PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BE8005.

Applicant is present. This is alter service of sl.no.51. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

51. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KOTPAD VIA LAXMIPUR, DAMANJODI AND BACK, CHANDRAMANI PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BF8005.

Since this is alter service of sl.no.50, this may be dealt with at par with sl.no.50.

52. **ROUTE –** BERHAMPUR TO KALIMELA VIA BHAWANIPATANA, AMPANI AND BACK, BASANTA KUMAR BISOYI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR11H0999.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

53. **ROUTE –** BODEN TO CUTTACK VIA BOUDH, NAYAGARH AND BACK, NIRANJAN SUNDARAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BH0599.

Applicant is absent. This is alter service of OD02BG-4199 which is now existing. There is no objection. This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

54. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO GAJALBADI VIA KODALA, BUDHAMBHA AND BACK, GANGADHARA SUNDARAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02E2199.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Mr.K. Mohammad.

The objector Sri Raghunath Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD02BA-5810 is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.K. Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Aska point. His service is departing Aska at 10.35 hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to depart Aska at 10.25 hrs. which is only ten minutes ahead of his service. The common corridor is Aska to Bhubaneswar which is 167kms.

He further stated that there is no bus service in between 12.00 to 12.35 hrs. If the applicant can be accommodated in the above time, then he has no objection.

Advocate Mr. K. Mohammad appearing for the applicant stated that this objector has applied new T.P. in favour of his another vehicle No.OR05AD-7082 vide sl.no.68 proposing departure time from Aska is 10.15 AM. Hence, there is no clash of time.

Advocate Shri M.B.Rao appearing for objector stated that the owner of bus No.OD07AE-3211 had applied Aska departure at 10.27 hours to operate on the route Meghajholi to Cuttack via Aska vide sl.no.242 as per notification dt.29.02.2020 and on the basis of objection of the objector, his time was revised to 11.55 hrs. at Aska point which is after the objectors' service. This may also be taken into account.

This may be examined.

55. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO CHANDRAPUR VIA BALIGUDA, TUMUDIBANDHA AND BACK, ANASUYA PANDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AZ1199.

Sl.No.55 and 56 both are alter service. Both the applicants are represented by Advocate Sri M.B.Rao. He stated that both the applicants have applied to operate their services as night service.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

56. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO CHANDRAPUR VIA BALIGUDA, TUMUDIBANDHA AND BACK, SATYANARAYAN PANDA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AZ1599.

Same observations as made in sl.no.55.

57. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO JEYPORE VIA BOLANGIR, BHAWANIPATANA AND BACK, JAGABANDHU SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BH9488.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

58. **ROUTE –** SALIASAHI TO TUMBAGARH VIA BALUGAON, CHHATRAPUR AND BACK, SUMIT KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AS6957.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri D.B.Das. There is no objection.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time. The permit may be considered from Baramunda instead of Saliasahi. The departure time from Baramunda be modified as per applied timing.

59. **ROUTE –** BARPALLI TO BHUBANESWAR AND BACK, BIKASH KUMAR NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BG7406.

Applicant is present. He stated that this is alter service of OD31D-8899 which is now existing. There is no objection. This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

60. **ROUTE –** TALCHER TO BERHAMPUR VIA PHULNAKHARA, BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) AND BACK, MOHAMED FAYAZ, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05V9306.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao. He stated that the applicant has applied TP to ply his vehicle as night service. There is no objection.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

61. **ROUTE –** JEYPORE TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA KOTAGADA, BALIGUDA AND BACK, UPENDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BB8199.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. There is no objection.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

62. **ROUTE –** BALIMELA (BALIMELA JN) TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA SIMILIGUDA, DAMANJODI AND BACK, BIKRAM KESARI PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AC2756.

Applicant is present. There is no objection.

The route from Bhubaneswar to Cuttack may be deleted and the permit may be considered from Bhubaneswar instead of Cuttack subject to verification of clash free time.

63. **ROUTE –** SUNDARGARH TO MOTU VIA BHAWANIPATANA, JUNAGARH AND BACK, RITA MISHRA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15J7595.

Applicant is represented by her husband Shri Naresh Kumar Mishra. He stated that the stoppage mentioned at serial no.22 may be deleted. By mistake it has been mentioned. Her vehicle will operate via Dasamanthapur.

There is an objection filed by Sri B.K.Sarangji, DTM, OSRTC, Rorkela. He stated that OSRTC, Rourkela is operating two vehicles i.e. OD02AQ-4807 and OD02AR-4262 (alter service) which are A/C deluxe service from Rourkela to Jeypore since last 40 years. He stated that their service is departing Sundargarh at 16.10hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Sundargarh at 15.50hrs. which is 20 minutes ahead of their service. If the time gap will be given to applicant one hour prior to their service, they have no objection. The OSRTC has also given an online objection mentioning the same objection.

This may be examined and considered subject to verification of clash free time.



64. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO CHITRAKONDA VIA-MOHANA, RAYAGADA AND BACK, DEBABRATA PATNAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BA0797.

This is alter service of sl. No.65. Applicant is absent. There is one objection filed by Sri Anil Kumar Singh, owner of vehicle No.OD15N-7988 and OD23F-0488(alter service). The objector is represented by Advocate Sri A.K.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Koraput. The departure time of his service at Koraput is 7.30 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Koraput at 7.12hrs.which is only 18 before his service. The common corridor is from Bhubaneswar to Balimela. Applicant may be given timing after his service.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time. The starting point may be considered from Bhubaneswar instead of Cuttack.

65. **ROUTE –** CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO CHITRAKONDA VIA MOHANA, RAYAGADA AND BACK, DEBABRATA PATNAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BA0997.

As this is alter service of sl.no.64, this may be dealt with the observation made at sl.no.64.

66. **ROUTE –** KANTABANJI TO MOTU VIA PAPADAHANADI, NABARANGPUR AND BACK, CHANDRA SEKHAR TRIPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AN5386.

Applicant is absent. This is basically alter service of sl.no.67.

Objector Sri B.Biswanath Patra, owner of vehicle No.OD10J-4727 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time from Jeypore to Kalimela. His departure time at Jeypore is 16.30hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Jeypore at 16.26hrs. which is only 4 minutes ahead of his service. Timing applied by the applicant in sl.no.67 may be allowed and to be granted to both services i.e. sl.no.66 and 67. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

67. **ROUTE –** KANTABANJI TO MOTU VIA PAPADAHANDI, NABARANGPUR AND BACK, CHANDRA SEKHAR TRIPATHY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AN5387.

As this is alter service of sl.no.66, this may be dealt with the observation made at sl.no.66.

68. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO INDRAGADA VIA KABISURYANAGAR, ASKA AND BACK, RAGHUNATH BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BL5810.

The applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K. Rao.

Objector Sri A.K. Das, owner of vehicle NO.O05AD-7082 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Aska point. His departure time from Aska is at 10.15 hrs. and reaches Bhubaneswar at 15.15 hrs. whereas the applicant proposed to depart Aska at 10.00 hrs. i.e. just five minutes ahead of his service and to reach Bhubaneswar at 10.15 hrs. The common corridor is from Aska to Bhubaneswar. He requested that the applicant may be given time after his service.

Applicant stated that there is no bus service from Indragada to Bhubaneswar.

The above objector stated that he has no objection if the applicant will be allowed to leave Aska at 10.20 hrs.

This may be considered together with timing of objector as well as sl.no.54 and reasonable time gap should be maintained.

69. **ROUTE –** ANGARAGAON TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA NACHUNI, TANGI AND BACK, SAHU SARANGADHAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AP1214.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera. Following objectors have raised their objection.

1. Sri Samarendra Pattnaik, owner of vehicle No.OD02BE-9329 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Balugaon. His departure time from Balugaon is 11.54 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart

Qr

Balugaon at 11.45 hrs. i.e. nine minutes before his service. Hence, he requested that the applicant may be given time after his service or time may be exchanged.

2. Sri Sachindra Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD02AH-0754 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that at Bhubaneswar point, his service is departing at 15.05 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart at 15.04 hrs. just one minute ahead of his service. The applicant may be given time after his service.
3. Sri Chitta Ranjan Paikray, owner of vehicle No.OD02AV-2131 is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.K. Rao. He stated that there is clash of time from Bhubaneswar to Balugaon. His departure time from Bhubaneswar is at 8.19 hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to leave Bhubaneswar at 8.15 hrs. Hence, he requested that, applicant may be given after his service.
4. Sri P.K. Chhotaray, owner of vehicle No.OD02AM-1705 and OR23E-3100 is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.K. Rao. He stated that at Banapur, there is clash of time. His service is departing Banapur at 4.30 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Banapur at 4.24 hrs. which is 6 minutes ahead of his service.

Secondly, another service of this objector i.e. OR23E-3100 is operating from Sahaspur to Bhubaneswar. At Gamharimunda, his service is departing at 5.05 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Gambharimunda at 4.50 hrs. which is 15 minutes ahead of his service. Hence he requested that applicant may be given timing after his service.

Applicant stated that he may be given time making it clash free.

70. **ROUTE –** BALAKATI TO MOTABADI VIA RAJ SUNAKHALA, DARPANARAYANPUR AND BACK, HIMANSU BHUSAN CHAMPATY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AQ5127.

Applicant is present. Following objectors have filed their objections.

1. Sri N.K. Amarka, owner of vehicle NO.OD02U-8011 stated that his service is departing Bhubaneswar at 5.45hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Bhubaneswar at 5.20hrs. which is 25 minutes ahead of his service. He stated that, at Sunakhala, the time gap is reduced 9 minutes which is irrational. The time may be rationalized and gap may be maintained. He further stated that applicant will proceed to Motabadi from Odagaon via Kumpaparha. The common corridor is from Bhubaneswar to Sunakhala which is 62 kms. and from Sarankul to Jagannath Prasad is also common corridor. Timing may be maintained as the distance is 182 kms.

This may be considered subject to clash free time and the service may be given as express service but not ordinary.

71. **ROUTE –** MOTABADI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA DARPANARAYANPUR, RAJ SUNAKHALA AND BACK, HIMANSU BHUSAN CHAMPATY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02B1027.

Applicant is present.

There is an objection filed by Sri Amitabh Kar, owner of vehicle No.OD13K-2100. He stated that in the down trip at Bhubaneswar, his departure time is 16.28hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Bhubaneswar at 16.30hrs. Hence he requested to fix the departure time of the applicant with a reasonable gap behind his service.

Applicant stated that he may be given time at Bhubaneswar at 15.45hrs.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

72. **ROUTE –** ANGUL TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BHAPUR, NARHUAPADA AND BACK, BIJAY KU SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD19F0096.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra.



There is an objection filed by Advocate Sri A.K.Behera on behalf of Labanyamayee Kar, owner of vehicle No.OR19H-6889. He stated that she is operating her service from Baabandha to Bhubaneswar via Rasol, Naruhapada, Govindpur. The common corridor is from Bhubaneswar to Rasol. In down trip, the service of this objector is departing from Bhubaneswar at 11.35 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Bhubaneswar at 11.37 hrs. which is 2 minutes after her service. In the up trip, the service of this objector is departing Rasol at 6.30 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Rasol at 6.41 hrs. Applicant will overtake at Naruapada. He requested that time gap may be maintained.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time and timing gap to be maintained. Both are ordinary service.

73. **ROUTE –** HI TECH MEDICAL SQUIRE TO KAMALADIHA VIA KHURDA NEW BUSTAND, BAGHAMARI AND BACK, SURENDRA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BJ7857.

Applicant is absent. The following objectors raised their objections.

1. Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra, appearing on behalf of Sri A.K. Routray, owner of vehicle No.OR11G-3535 stated that the applicant has applied to operate his vehicle from Hi Tech Medical Square which may not be considered as the same is within Bhubaneswar town area. Besides, he has stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar. His service is departing Bhubaneswar at 4.25 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Bhubaneswar at 4.30 hrs which is 5 minutes after of his service. At Khurda, the time gap is 2 minutes and at Baghamari, the timing is same. The common corridor is from Bhubaneswar to Rajakiari. Hence he has requested that the applicant may be given timing after his service.
2. Sri Santosh Kumar Moharana, owner of vehicle No.OD33V-0367 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of timing in entire route from Bhubaneswar to Kamaladiha. His departure time from Bhubaneswar is 4.36 hrs.

whereas the applicant has proposed to leave BBSR at 4.30 hrs just 6 minutes before his service. At Kamaladiha, the service of this objector is departing at 11.04 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart at 11.00 hrs just 4 minutes ahead of the service of this objector. Hence, the objector requested that time at Bhubaneswar may be given to applicant at 4.20 hrs.

3. Sri Dhaneswar Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR04Q-0085 is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera. He stated that the starting point Hi Tech Medical Square and Rasulgarh may be deleted as the above points are coming in the Bhubaneswar town area and applicant may be allowed to leave only from Baramunda Bus stand. Further, he stated that at Bhubaneswar, his service is departing at 4.50 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at 4.30 hrs. The common corridor is from Bhubaneswar to Narasinghpur. He requested that applicant may be given clash free time.

This may be examined and considered from Bhubaneswar instead of Hi-Tech Chhak subject to clash free time.

74. ROUTE – NARSINGH PUR TO GONDIA VIA GODIJHARIA, DHENKANAL AND BACK, KARUNAKAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AE6365.

Applicant is absent. Following objectors raised their objections.

1. Sri Prakas Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OR06J-1575 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that at Dhenkanal, there is clash of time. His service is departing Dhenkanal at 8.15 hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to leave at 8.15 hrs. which is exact time of this objector. Hence, he requested that the applicant may be given timing after his service.
2. Smt. Nandita Behera, owner of vehicle No.OR14N-5661 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that at Gandia, there is direct clash of time. His departure time from Gondia is at 15.00 hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to leave

Q

at 15.00 hrs. which is exact time of this objector. The objector requested that the applicant may be given time after her service.

3. Sri Sarat Kumar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OR06H-5230 is represented by Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra. He stated that in down trip, the applicant has proposed to depart Dhenkanal at 16.48 hrs. whereas his time at Dhenkanal is 17.00 hrs. i.e. just 12 minutes ahead of his service. There is clash of timing up to Bhapur. Besides, this objector stated that the halting time applied by the applicant at Dhenkanal may be reduced from 40 minutes to 20 minutes.

This may be examined and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

75. **ROUTE –** TARASAH I TO AIIMS VIA PANIMAL, BANAMALIPUR AND BACK, MINAKETAN SWAIN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR13G8226.

Applicant is present.

There is one objection filed by Sri Bharat Chandra Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OR02AV-8579. He stated that in the up and down trip, the applicant has applied in same time. Hence, he requested that this may be verified.

This may be verified and considered subject to clash free timing.

76. **ROUTE –** PALA LAHARHA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KANTANALI, KHUNTUNI AND BACK, ATISH KUMAR BEURAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AX0036.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.Rao.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

77. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO JAGAMOHANPUR VIA KAMAKHYANAGAR, PARJANG AND BACK, SUNIL KUMAR BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05X9012.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Srit Prasanna Kumar Behera. Following vehicle owners have filed objection.



1. Sri Santosh Kumar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD05J-4117 objector is represented by Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra. He stated that in Up and Down trip, the applicant applied in exact route of this objector. He stated that at Bhubaneswar point, the departure time of this objector is 5.35 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at 5.00 hrs. The common corridor is from Bhubaneswar to Jagamohanpur. At Jagamohanpur, the service of objector is departing at 13.29 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at 13.00 hrs. i.e. 29 minutes ahead of his service. Hence, he requested that the gap may be increased to 40 minutes.
2. Ms. Sasmita Das, owner of vehicle No.OD35E-0036 is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.K. Rao. He stated that the objector is plying her bus on the route Bhubaneswar to Pal Lahara via Kamakhyanagar and Mahabir Road by virtue of PP. The common corridor is from Bhubaneswar to Mahabir Road which is more than 160 kms. The departure time of the service of this objector from Bhubaneswar is at 5.15 hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to leave at 5.00 hrs which is 15 minutes ahead of her service. Besides, the vehicle of the applicant is a pretty old and how can it run as express service covering 422 kms in a day. Hence, he requested that if the applicant shall be considered for permit, then applicant may be allowed to operate after service of this objector.

The Advocate appearing for applicant stated that he will give a higher model vehicle.

This may be granted subject to verification of clash free time.

78. ROUTE – KALAPATHARA TO TIKABALI VIA MAHIPUR, DASHAPALLA AND BACK, LAXMIDHAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD041814.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.K. Rao. There is no objection.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

Q

79. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO GUDIAKATANI VIA BHAPUR, RASOL POST OFFICE AND BACK, SUMANTA GARNAIK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD19J0774.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K.Behera. Following objectors have raised their objection.

1. Sri Jyoti Kanta Das, owner of vehicle No.OD05AL-6355 is represented by Advocate Shri S. Mishra. He stated that the service of this objector is departing Bhubaneswar at 5.39 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at 6.10 hrs. But the proposed time given by the applicant, it is overtaking in between Rasol and Mahidharpur. The common corridor is from Bhubaneswar to Angul. Applicant may be given clash free time.

Applicant has applied to operate his service as express service, whereas the objector is operating his service as ordinary service.

2. Rasmita Das, owner of vehicle No.OR05AM-6355 is represented by Advocate Sri S. S. Mishra. He stated that at Bhubaneswar, the service of this objector is departing 5.53 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at 6.10 hrs. The proposed timing given by the applicant, it will overtake between Naduapada and Bhapur.

Applicant has applied to operate his service as express service, whereas the objector is operating his service as ordinary service.

3. Sri Surya Prakash Behera, owner of vehicle No.OD19J-0774 is represented by Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra. He stated that the departure time of this objector at Bhubaneswar is 6.14 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Bhubaneswar at 6.10 hrs which is 4 minutes ahead of his service. The entire route from Bhubaneswar to Angul is common corridor. Applicant may be given clash free time.

4. Sri Ashok Kumar Samantray, owner of vehicle No.OD05Z-5727 is represented by Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra. He stated that the departure time of the service of this objector at Angul is 13.20 hrs.



whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at 13.35 hrs which is 15 after his service. But at Mahidharpur, the proposed time given by the applicant, the bus will overtake objector's vehicle.

Since the applicant has applied to operate his vehicle as express service, but he has proposed stoppages in every 5 kms. to 9 kms. which cannot be granted to an express service.

Applicant may submit revised timing.

80. **ROUTE –** PURI TO KAJALAIPALLI VIA NIRAKARPUR, RAMESWAR AND BACK, SNEHASHIS SAMANTARAY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02AS3009.

Applicant is present.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

81. **ROUTE –** JAGANNATHPRASAD TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA KANTILO, FATEGARH AND BACK, RAMA CHANDRA PRADHAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02BM6525.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri S.S.Mishra.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

82. **ROUTE –** KAJALAIPALLI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA GAURANGAPUR, RANAPUR AND BACK, JYOTSHNA RANI DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02AY6665.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.K. Rao.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

83. **ROUTE –** TUMURPUT TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA KUHUDI, TANGI AND BACK, DILLIP HARICHANDAN, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AV2555.

Applicant is absent. Following objectors have filed their objections.

21

1. Smt. Sujata Pattnaik, owner of vehicle No.OD02C-7777 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Gamharimunda. The departure time of objector at Gamharimunda is 5.56 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Gamharimunda at 4.52 hrs. Though the applicant has proposed to leave Gahmarimunda 1 hours and 2 minutes prior to the service of the objector, but at Kuhudi it will reach 1 minutes prior to the service of the objector. The objector further states that the applicant has proposed to operate from Sunakhala to Sorana which is not on the straight road from Sunakhala to Bhubaneswar. But after Sunakhala the vehicle of the applicant will be diverted to Sorana via Gangadharpur which is about 19 kms. and again return to the highway at Kuhudi which is 17 kms. to proceed to Bhubaneswar. Hence, the objector requested that if the stoppage Sorana will be deleted, then the time gap will be alright.
2. Smt. Basanti Manjari Panda, owner of vehicle No.OD32F-1088 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that the objector has got objection in down trip. The service of this objector is departing Bhubaneswar at 13.35 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Bhubaneswar at 13.30 hrs. which is just 5 minutes ahead of his service. He requested that applicant may be given time after objector's service from Bhubaneswar.
3. Sri Jayant Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD02AF-6054 and Sasmita Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD02AL-3132 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K. Rao. He stated that that if the stoppage given by the applicant at Sorana will be deleted, then problem will be solved.
4. Sri Pratyush Kumar Chhotray, owner of vehicles No.OD02AM-1705 and OR23E-3100 is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.K. Rao. He stated that at Banapur, there is clash of time in respect of vehicle No.OD02AM-1705. His departure time at Banapur is at 4.30 hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to leave at same time i.e. at 4.30 hrs. He further stated that the applicant has mentioned



the stoppage Narendrapur. The distance from Narendrapur to Banapur is 5 kms. (This needs to be verified). Applicant may be given time after his service.

In respect of his objection made in respect of 2nd vehicle i.e. OR23E-3100, the objector through Advocate Sri M.B.K. Rao stated that, there is clash of time at Gambharimunda. The service of this objector is departing Gambharimunda at 5.05 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Gambharimunda at 4.52 hrs. He requested that the applicant may be given time after his service.

This may be verified.

84. **ROUTE –** BALIPUT TO GOPALPRASAD VIA CHATRAPADA, SALAPASI AND BACK, DHANESWAR SAHOO, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02AT7932.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

85. **ROUTE –** ANKUSAPUR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BALUGAON, CHANDAPUR AND BACK, MAHAMED FAYAZ, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AE3515.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.K. Rao. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

86. **ROUTE –** BARANGA TO BALUGAON VIA BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA), KHURDA BY PASS AND BACK, HARIHARA PATTANAİK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02V8072.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri H.P. Mohanty.

There is no objection. However, the applicant may be granted Permit up to Cuttack instead of Barang. Applicant is also agreed to it and stated that he may be allowed TP up to Cuttack instead of Barang via Ring Road.

87. ROUTE – BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BAGEDIA VIA RASOL POSTOFFICE, SATAMILE AND BACK, MOHAN KUMAR MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD19N5574.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera. Following objectors have filed their objection.

1. Sri S.K. Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR19L-3696 is represented by Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra. He stated that, in the down trip from Bagadia there is clash of time. His service is departing from Bagadia at 15.15 hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to leave at 15.15 hrs. which is the exact time. Hence, he requested that the applicant may be given time after his service. (This may be considered together with Sl.No.97).
2. Sri S.K. Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR19F-4796 is represented by Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Bagadia in down trip up to Angul. His departure time at Bagadia is 15.10 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at 15.15 hrs just five minutes after his service. But the vehicle of applicant reaches Chhendipada one minute earlier of objector's service. Secondly, the applicant has applied arrival time at Bagedia at 13.03 but will depart Bagedia at 15.15 hrs after halting 2 hours 12 minutes. i.e. Hence he requested that the halting time may be reduced.
3. Sri Bibekananda Khandai, owner of vehicle No.OD05K-9399 is represented by Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra. He stated that at Angul, the applicant has applied in same time. His service is departing Angul at 17.00 hrs. whereas the applicant has also proposed to leave at 17.00 hrs. The applicant may be given timing after his service. Further the objector stated that the applicant has applied in different alignment in both the trips. He further stated that the applicant was plying his vehicle during off-road period for which VCR is issued and pending. This may be checked.
4. Sri Nabaghana Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD19G-1217 stated that the departure time given by the applicant is clashing at Angul. His service is departing Angul at 11.50 hrs. whereas the applicant

has applied to depart at 11.45 hrs. i.e. just five minutes ahead of his service. Hence he requested that the applicant may be allowed to depart Angul after 30 minutes of his service.

Applicant is advised to apply in one alignment for up and down trip. It may be checked whether any VCR pending against the vehicle of the applicant.

88. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO DASHAPALLA VIA KALAPATHARA, KANTILO AND BACK, BASANTA KUMAR SAMAL, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02A9432.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.K. Rao. He stated that if possible, the service of the applicant may be allowed lunch break for 15 minutes at Gania and Kantilo in up trip.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to clash free time.

89. **ROUTE –** JANHIKUDA TO SUMANDALA VIA TANGI, KHURDHA AND BACK, SUMIT KUMAR JENA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AV5140.

Applicant is present.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

90. **ROUTE –** OLAVAR TO CHANDABALI VIA CHARAMPA, BHADRAK AND BACK, NIBEDITA DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR22A8584.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri S. Mishra. Following objectors have filed objections.

1. Sri Duryodhan Bhoi, owner of vehicle No. OD22-5407 is represented by Advocate Mr. K. Mohammad. He stated that the objector is operating his vehicle on the route Ghanteswar to Bhadrak 3 RT on the strength of PP granted by RTA, Bhadrakh. He stated that the objector has no stoppage at Gadi. The applicant has proposed to depart Gadi in 6 minutes ahead of the service of the objector. Besides, there is clash of time at Bhadrak in the down trip. The departure time of his objector at Bhadrak is

Q

8.10 AM whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Bhadrak at 8.17 AM just 7 minutes after the service of this objector. He requested that the time gap may be maintained with 15 minutes.

2. Mr. Sayed Mozahid Rasul, owner of vehicle No.OR05AK-7197 is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.K. Rao. He stated that his vehicle is departing Chandbali at 5.15 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Chandbali at 4.57 hrs. which is 18 minutes ahead of service of objector. The common corridor is from Chandbali to Bhadrak. He further stated that the applicant have got another vehicle No.OD05D-5484 stands in the name of her husband and the departure time of said vehicle from Chandbali is at 5.45 hrs. The applicant may be given time to leave Chandbali at 5.30 hrs. so that 15 minutes gap can be maintained among the three buses. He further stated that the vehicle of the applicant is 2006 model which is pretty old.

Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra appearing for the Applicant stated that the gap may be increased to 25 minutes. He also stated that the vehicle will be replaced before the permit is granted.

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

91. **ROUTE –** URUKULA TO PALA LAHARHA VIA NALCO COLONY, BALHAR AND BACK, BHARAT KUMAR SETHI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD19A3833.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri S.S. Mishra. He stated that in down trip, the departure time may be modified as 14.00 hrs. instead of 12.00 hrs.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

92. **ROUTE –** BAJRAKOTA TO KUCHINDA VIA KANDHAL, DEOGARH AND BACK, ASHOK KUMAR PANI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR19P4804.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

93. **ROUTE –** NUAPADA TO ANGUL VIA SIMILIPAL, DAINCHHA AND BACK, PHANI BHUSAN PATTNAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD19J5352.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra.

There is an objection filed by Sri Kallol Kanta Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD19Q-0096. He stated that his vehicle is plying on the route Phulbani to Angul via Boudh and Rairakhhol. The common corridor is from Rairakhhol to Angul which is 95 kms. His service is departing Rairakhhol at 7.51 hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to leave at 7.39 hrs which is 12 minutes ahead of his service. Similarly the departure time from Angul of this objector is at 13.30 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Angul at 13.20 hrs. which is 10 minutes ahead of his service. He further stated that in down trip the time gap is 7 minutes and in up trip, the gap is 10 minutes. Hence, this objector has requested that the applicant may be given time after his service.

94. **ROUTE –** ANLAJODI TO PAKTIA VIA BANEIKALA, BISOI AND BACK, SUNIL KUMAR GIRI, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD09R5425.

Applicant is absent.

There is an objection filed by Sri Tapan Kumar Parida, owner of vehicle No.OD11J-4415 through Advocate Sri A.K. Behera. He stated that the entire route applied by the applicant is coming under one RTA i.e. RTA, Mayurbhanj.

It may be examined whether the route applied by the applicant is coming under one RTA. If so, this should not be taken into account for consideration. Applicant may apply in RTA, Mayurbhanj.

95. **ROUTE –** CHIPILIMA TO DEOGARH VIA SINDURPANK, KENGHATI AND BACK, RANJIT SHARMA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15M3155.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

96. **ROUTE –** BAULAPUR TO MADHAPUR VIA BANRAPAL, ANGUL AND BACK, SHANTILATA SAHU, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR19H5574.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera.

The objector Sri Bibekananda Khandei, owner of vehicle No.OD05K-9399 is represented by Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra. He stated that in up trip from Angul towards Athamallik, there is clash of time. The service of this objector in up trip is departing Angul at 10.25 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Angul at 10.09 hrs which is 16 minutes ahead of objector's service. In down trip, the service of this objector is departing Athamallik at 14.15 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Athamallik at 14.06 hrs. which is just 9 minutes ahead of his service. The common corridor is from Athamallik to Angul. Hence he requested that applicant may be given time after his service.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

97. **ROUTE –** BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO TALCHER VIA JATAMUNDIA, RASOL AND BACK, HIMANSU BHUSAN CHAMPATY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AV5127.

Applicant is present.

The objector Sri Mohan Kumar Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OD19N-5574 is represented by Advocate Sri A.K. Behera. He stated that this objector has applied for a new TP from Bhubaneswar to Bagedia via Jatamudia, Rasol, Mahidharpur, Angul, Jindal, Chhendipada which is at Sl.No.87. He further stated that this objector has applied in the up trip Bhubaneswar departure time at 7.35 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Bhubaneswar at 7.20 hrs. which is just 15 minutes ahead of his applied timings.

This will be considered together with sl.no.87 subject to verification of clash free time.



98. **ROUTE –** AINTHAPALI TO SINGHPUR VIA TALCHER, KAMAKHYANAGAR AND BACK, MRUTYUNJAYA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15R1353.

Applicant is represented by Sri Bibekananda Mohanty, husband of applicant of sl.no.99. He stated that this is alter service of sl.no.99.

The objector Sri Chandramani Lenka, owner of vehicle No.OR06D-8438 is represented by Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra. He stated that the halting time proposed by the applicants seems to be extremely high. The local operators are facing lot of problems. Since the applicants have applied to ply their vehicles as express service, but the proposed timing given by both applicants are as ordinary service.

Applicant stated that halting timing at Talcher may be reduced.

Applicant should submit a revised timing reducing the stoppages and halting timings at various stoppages to ply his vehicle as express service.

99. **ROUTE –** AINTHAPALI TO SINGHPUR VIA TALCHER, KAMAKHYANAGAR AND BACK, KALPANA MOHANTY, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15R5313.

This is alter service of sl.no.98. Applicant is represented by her husband Sri Bibekananda Mohanty.

Since this is alter service of sl.no.98, the observations made in sl. No.98 will be applicable to the present case.

100. **ROUTE –** ATTHAMALLIK TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA SATAMILE, RASOL PS AND BACK, SUSHIL KU BEHERA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR19L2111.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Mr. K. Mohammad. The following objectors have given their objections.

1. Sri Bijay Kumar Rout, owner of vehicle No.OD05AQ-7288 is represented by Advocate Sri M.B.K. Rao. He stated that the applicant has applied for TP on the route Athamallik to Cuttack. There is clash of time at Athamallik. The service of objector is departing Athamallik



at 6.00 hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to leave Athamallik at 5.45 hrs. i.e. 15 minutes ahead of objector's service. Similarly, from Cuttack, the departure time of this objector is 13.10 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Athamallik at 12.35 hrs. i.e. 35 minutes ahead of objector's service. The objector requested that the applicant may be given to depart Athamallik at 5.00 hrs. instead of 5.45 hrs as this objector is operating his vehicle for more than 40 years.

Applicant stated that the route and alignment applied by him is completely different than the objector.

2. Sri Gayadhar Swain, owner of vehicle No.OR05AV-2522 is represented by Advocate Sri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that the common corridor is from Bhubaneswar to Nalco. The departure time of the service of objector at Cuttack is 12.35 hrs. whereas the applicant has applied to depart Cuttack at 12.35 hrs. which is exact time of this objector. He further stated that though the alignment is different, the applicant may be given timing after his service.
3. Sri A.K. Samataray, owner of vehicle No.OR05AG-2253 is represented by Advocate Sri S.S. Mishra. He stated that in the up trip at Rasol, the vehicle of objector is departing at 9.00 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to depart Rasol at 9.03 hrs. just 3 minutes after his service. The common corridor is from Rasol to Cuttack. Though the applicant suggested his departure time from Rasol in 3 minutes after the service of this objector, but the vehicle of the applicant is overtaking objector's service between Rasol and Bhapur. He has requested that the applicant may be given TP via Laduapada instead of Birakishorepur.
4. Sri Manmohan Das, owner of vehicle No.OR06J-5022 stated that in down trip from Angul there is clash of time. His departure time at Angul is 4.50 hrs. whereas the applicant has proposed to leave Angul at 4.30 which is 20 minutes ahead of his service. The common corridor is from Angul to Thakurgarh which is 60 kms. Hence he requested that the applicant may be given time after his service.

Applicant stated that stoppages may not be allowed from Birakishorepur to Laduapada.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time and applicant may be allowed TP on the route applied for via Laduapada but not via Birakishorepur.


22-12-2020
Transport Commissioner-Cum-
Chairman, STA, Odisha, Cuttack.