PROCEEDINGS OF THE PERMIT GRANT COMMITTEE MEETING OF STA, ODISHA,

1. ROUTE- ANGUL TO ROURKELA, VIA-KHAMAR, BALIPASI AND BACK.VEHICLE NO.
Vehicle No.OR19K-6937, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku.
Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak the employees of OSRTC. There are two objections
1. Sri Bijay Kumar Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD19F-0096 objected that the
applied vehicle will start from Angul 05 minutes ahead of his vehicle.
2. Sri Dileswar Samal, owner of vehicle No.OD05H-4216 has filed objection
stating that OSRTC has applied 10 minutes ahead of his timing. There is
clash of timing from Khamar to Rourkela. This may be verified.

2 ROUTE- BAHARANA TO SATAPADA, VIA-UTTARA CHHAK, PIPILI AND BACK
VEHICLE NO. OR19H-0747, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku.
Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. There is no
objection. T.P. may be considered after checking of clash free timing.

3. ROUTE- JHARSUGUDA TO MALKANGIRI, VIA-NABARANGPUR, VEHICLE NO. OD17L-
0728, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku.
Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. There is no
objection. This is alter service of OD17L-0725. T.P. may be considered as per
the timing of the alter service.

4. ROUTE- PADAMPUR TO SONEPUR, VIA-DUDUKA, SALEBHATA AND BACK. VEHICLE
NO. OD02BC-6649, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku.
Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. There is no
objection. T.P. may be considered after verification of timing.
5. ROUTE- BHAWANIPATNA TO DARINGIBADI, VIA-TUMUDIBANDHA, KURTAMGADA AND BACK. VEHICLE NO.OD02BC-6153, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku. Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. Three objections are received

1. Maa Patakhanda Private Bus Owners Association raised a general objection regarding congestion of route.
2. Gourisankar Panda, owner of vehicle No.OR12B-1956 objected that the applicant’s vehicle is 09 minutes ahead from Baliguda to Bhawanipatna in down trip.
3. Kanhu Charan Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR12A-9155 represented by advocate A. Behera that the applicants vehicle is 01 minute ahead of his vehicle at Baliguda point to Daringibadi. He has also filed the same objection in online.
4. Akhaya Kumar Pattanayak, owner of vehicle No.OR02N-3040 has filed objection on line stating that applicant’s vehicle proposed time at Baliguda is just 01 minute ahead. His time is 7.45 at Baliguda.

6. ROUTE- NABARANGPUR TO KESHINGA, VIA-KOKSARA, MOTER AND BACK. VEHICLE NO.OD02BC-5202, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku. Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. There is no objection. T.P. may be considered after verification of clash free of timing.

7. ROUTE- DHARAMGARH TO JEYPORE, VIA-MAIDALPUR, PAPADAHANDI AND BACK. VEHICLE NO.OD02BC-5203, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku. Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. There is one objection. Sanjay Kumar Padhi, owner of vehicle No.OR10B-8422 represented by advocate P. Behera stating that the applicant’s vehicle is 19 minutes ahead of Ambapani in up trip. The applicant agreed to depart Ambapani at 6.25hrs. T.P. may be considered after verification of clash of timing.

8&9. ROUTE- BELTUKURI TO JUNAGARH, VIA-DUAJHAR, KIRKITA AND BACK. VEHICLE NO.OD02BC-5201 and OD02BC-6646, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku. Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. Five objections are received.
1. Avash Pattjoshi, owner of vehicle No.OR08G-3791 raised objection that his timing is directly clashing at Sinapllai 10.15.

2. Asish Ranjan Choudhury, owner of vehicle No.OR10E-2439 raised objection that the applicant's vehicle departs 10-15 minutes ahead of his timing from Junagarh to Mukhiguda.

3. Bata Krushna Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD08J-6800 objected that the applicant's vehicle departs 06 minutes ahead of his vehicle from Jaipatna to Junagarh.

4. Bata Krushna Pradhan, owner of vehicle No.OD08C-9898 objected that the applicant's vehicle departs 19 minutes after his vehicle at Jaipatna.

5. Mohit Kumar Das, owner of vehicle No.OD08C-6392 objected that the applicant's vehicle departs 15 minutes ahead of his vehicle from Beltukuri to Khariar, Junagarh to Moter.

The applicant is to submit revised clash free timing for consideration.

10. ROUTE- MV 79 TO KATAGAM, VIA-MALKANGIRI, NABARANGPUR AND BACK.
VEHICLE NO.OD30-4912, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku. Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. There is no objection. This is the alter service of vehicle No.OD30-4913.

11&12 ROUTE- KOIRA TO AKHANDALAMANI, VIA-ANANDAPUR, BARPADA AND BACK.
VEHICLE NO.OD02BC-5444 AND OD02BC-9418, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku. Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. Two objections received.

1. Masul Alam, owner of vehicle No.OD09H-0941 represented by H.P. Mohanty objected that the applicant's vehicle departs Keonjhar at 12.15, but OSRTC has applied Keonjhar departure at 12.05 just five minutes ahead of his timing. There is clash of time from Keonjhar to Barbil.

2. Manoranjan Mishra, owner of vehicle No.OD09E-5737 and OD09-1337 represented by H.P. Mohanty objected that the applicant's vehicle departs 05 minutes after his vehicle OD09E-5737 from Keonjhar to reach Remuli and Barbil and 10 minutes after his vehicle OD09-1337 to reach Remuli.

T.P. may be considered after verification of clash of timing.
13. ROUTE- BARGARH TO GOLAMUNDA, VIA-KHOLAN, PATHARIA AND BACK. VEHICLE NO.ODO2BC-6645, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku. Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. There is no objection. This is the alter service of SI. No.15, vehicle NO.ODO2BC-9416. T.P. may be considered after verification of timing.

14. ROUTE- PURI TO GANGAPUR, VIA-NAYAGARH, SARANKUL AND BACK. VEHICLE NO.ODO2AZ-8811, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku. Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. There is no objection. T.P. may be considered after verification of timing.

15. ROUTE- BARGARH TO GOLAMUNDA, VIA-TIGILAGARH AND BACK. VEHICLE NO.ODO2BC-9416, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku. Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. There is no objection. This is the alter service of SI. No.13, ODO2BC-6645.

16. ROUTE- PODIA TO RAIGHAR, VIA-MATHILI, GOVINDAPALI AND BACK. VEHICLE NO.OD30-8752, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku. Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. Five objections received.

1. Gupteswar Padhi, owner of vehicle No.OD10E-3616 represented by advocate P. Behera objected that the applicant’s time clashes at Malkangiri and Jepore point.

2. Pabitra Patra, owner of vehicle No.AP31TU-7837 and N. Shankar Rao, owner of vehicle No.OD10-9197 plying as alter service represented by advocate P. Behera objected that the applicant’s time clashes at Nabarangpur point.

3. Sabita Bisoi, owner of vehicle No.OD24A-9585 and Maheswar Bisoi, owner of vehicle No.OD10F-5895 plying as alter service represented by advocate P. Behera has not specified clash of point.

T.P. may be considered after verification of clash free timing.
17. ROUTE- PADAMPUR TO BHAWANIPATNA, VIA-SAINTALA, BARGAN AND BACK. VEHICLE NO.OD02BC-9415, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku. Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. There is no objection. T.P. may be considered after verification of clash free of timing.

18. ROUTE- DARINGBADI TO MUNIGUDA, VIA-KURTAMAGADA, TUMUDIBANDHA AND BACK. VEHICLE NO.OD02BC-6156, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku. Pattanayak and Pradipta Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. One objection received online from Kanhu Charan Sahoo on clash of time at Baliguda point as his vehicle arrives Baliguda 8.55 and departs 9.00 whereas the applicant has proposed to depart. T.P. may be considered after verification of clash of time.

19. ROUTE- NAKTIDEOL TO BHUBANESWAR(BARAMUNDA) VIA-RASOL POST OFFICE, GHATIPIRI AND BACK. VEHICLE NO.OD02AZ-5600. CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Bibhuti Samal and Mr. Pattanayak, the employee of OSRTC. Three objections received.

1. Kallola Kanta Sahoo, owner of vehicle No.OD19T-0096 represented by A.K. Behera advocate objected stating that the applicant’s vehicle is operating from Barkote to Bhubaneswar, via-Angul, Mahidharpur and departs 01 minute ahead from Angul point towards Bhubaneswar.

2. Laxmidar Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OD05M-5475 represented by M.B.K. Rao objected that the applicant’s vehicle to depart Reamal 05.38, 08 minutes after his vehicle but will reach Angul at 8.06 and depart at 08.21 for Bhubaneswar before his vehicle. Whereas the objector’s vehicle departs Angul at 09.05hrs. There is jumping of time.

The applicant pointed out that there are two separate bus stands for Govt. buses and private buses at Angul. He also agreed to reduce halting time if required at Angul. The proposed route does not cover the rationalized corridor from Angul to Cuttack, via-Dhenkanal. T.P. may considered after verification of clash of timing.
20. ROUTE - PURI TO ASKA, VIA-NIRAKARPUR, RANAPUR AND BACK. VEHICLE NO. OR05AL-6892, CMD, OSRTC, BHUBANESWAR

The applicant is represented by Sri Bibhuti Samal, Sri Ashok Ku. Pattanayak and Pradip Kumar Nayak, the employee of OSRTC. There is no objection. T.P. may be considered after verification of clash free of timing.

21. ROUTE - JEYPORE-SIMILIGUDA-ARAKU AND BACK. VEHICLE NO. OD10C-4227.

Withdrawn.

22. ROUTE - CUTTACK TO SINAPALLI VIA NAYAGARH, PHULBANI AND BACK. PAKASH CHANDRA NAYAK, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR05AA3663.

Applicant has withdrawn the application.

23. ROUTE - BARIPADA TO KUPARI VIA UDALA, JARADA AND BACK. SUBRAT KUMAR MOHAKUD, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OD11K7217.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri K.C. DAS.

There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

24. ROUTE - RAYAGADA TO NARAYANPATNA VIA- SADABADI AND BACK. K.SATYAVATI, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR104419.

Applicant is absent. Since this is a more than fifteen years old i.e. 1993 model vehicle, should not be considered for safety of the travelling public.

25. ROUTE - RAYAGADA TO TENTULIPADAR VIA KUMBHARIPUT, BANDHUGAON AND BACK. KK V LAXMAN RAO OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR186489.

Applicant is absent. Since this is a more than fifteen years old i.e. 2002 model vehicle. This should not be considered for safety of the travelling public.

26. ROUTE - BISSAMCUTTACK TO MAKARSOLA VIA SIKARKUPA, CHHATIGUDA AND BACK. PRAKASH KUMAR DAS, OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. OR08D5939.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri J.N. Mohanty. There is one objection filed by Mrs. Swarnalata Hota, owner of vehicle No. OD08D-7989 online. She stated that at Bissamcuttack, her service is departing at 5.30AM whereas the applicant has applied at 5.00AM. Another vehicle of the above owner is also plying in the above route where departure time at Bisamacuttack is at 7.30AM.
Applicant agreed to leave Bisamcuttack at 4.30AM. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

27. ROUTE- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BELAGUNTHA VIA KHALLIKOTE, KODALA AND BACK, SASHMITA PRADHAN OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02AQ7857.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty.

Following objectors have given their objections as follows:

1. Shri B.N. Sahu, owner of vehicle No. OR02Z-6409 represented by Advocate Mr. M.B.K. Rao stated that there is clash of time at Belaguntha, Balipadar and Budhaamba. His vehicle is departing Belaguntha at 20.45 hrs. whereas the applicant has applied at 21.00 i.e. fifteen minutes after his service. Similarly the time gap of the objector’s vehicle and proposed time given by the applicant at Balipadar is same and at Budhaamba there is only three minutes gap.

2. Shri N. Sundaray, owner of vehicle No. OD02J-2199 represented by Advocate Mr. M.B.K. Rao stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar. His dep. time at Bhubaneswar is 7.20AM whereas the applicant has proposed at 7.15AM i.e. five minutes ahead of his service.

3. Smt. L.P. Mohanty, owner of vehicle No. OR02BX-2533 represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K. Rao stated that there is clash of time at Balipadar. Her dep. time at Balipadar is 21.45 whereas applicant has proposed at 21.27.

Applicant has agreed that he will maintain the time gap.

28. ROUTE- MEGHAPAL TO CHHENDIPADA VIA NAKTIDEOL, BATAGAON AND BACK, BULU PRUSTY OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15E9747.

Applicant is present. There is one objection filed by Shri S.K. Roul, represented by Advocate Shri A.K. Behera. He stated that there is clash of time at Chhendipadar. His service is departing Chhendipadar at 10.46AM whereas applicant has applied at 10.45AM i.e. one minute gap.

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.
29. ROUTE- MANAPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA-HAJA, JANKIA AND BACK, ASHOK KUMAR MOHANTY OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AH5101.

Applicant is present and stated that he is operating another vehicle No.OR02U-9811 in the said route which is departing from Bhubaneswar at 16.15hrs. There is no objection. He requested to depart Bhubaneswar at 16.30hrs instead of 16.15hrs. This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

30. ROUTE- HADAGARH TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA HABALESWAR, SATHIPUR AND BACK, SAROJ KUMAR MOHANTY OWNER OF VEHICLE OD04H0958.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri P.K.Behera

Following objectors have given their objections as follows:

1. Pranati Samal, owner of vehicle No.OR02AM-2777 is represented by Advocate Shri D. B. Das and stated that she is plying her three vehicles after obtaining permanent permit from STA on the route Hadagada to Bhubaneswar via Manjari Road and back, Mugupur to Bhubaneswar via Sathipur and back and Boula to Bhubaneswar via Barikpur and back respectively. She stated that the proposed timing applied by the applicant will severely affect her above three services as the applied timings of applicant is same to her routes as well as very little gap or coinide to her timing.

2. Shri Pusparaj Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD04H-1785 is represented by Advocate Mr.K.Mohammed stated that there is clash of time at Chhendipadar. His dep. time at Chhenapatti is 5.50AM whereas the applicant has proposed to depart at 5.44AM.

3. Pranati Samal, owner of vehicle No.OD02AK-2777 and OR02AM-2777 represented by Advocate Mr.D.B.Das and Associates stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar. The objector’s time is fifteen minutes ahead of the proposed timing given by the applicant.

4. Shri R.K.Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OR22D-3772 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time from Cuttack. The applicant has applied to depart Cuttack just 05 minutes prior to the objector’s vehicle. The running time from Bhubaneswar to Cuttack is given only 45 minutes
instead of 01 hr. At Chandikhole and Panikoili, the time gap is only one minute and six minutes respectively.

The objection of the above objectors may be verified.

31. ROUTE- JHARSUGUDA TO BANDHABAHAL VIA BELPAHARAND BACK, SURENDRA KUMAR SAHU OWNER OF VEHICLE OR23E3696.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered on verification of clash of timing.

32. ROUTE- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO RANGANI VIA DUHURIA, TINI MUHANI AND BACK, LALAT KISHORE JENA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AP0326.

Applicant is absent. There is one objection filed by Shri B.K.Nayak, stating that the applicant has already got an existing permit which has not been surrendered. Again he has applied without surrendering the existing permit. This may be verified.

33. ROUTE- SORISPADA TO RAYAGADA VIA PODAGADA, KAKRIGUMMA AND BACK, K RAJESWAR RAM OWNER OF VEHICLE AP30V5355.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. There is no objection. Since the vehicle has not been reassigned with Odisha registration number.

34. ROUTE- KHARIAR TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BOUDH, DASHAPALLA AND BACK CHANDRAMANI TRIPATHY OWNER OF VEHICLE OD03H8224.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

35. ROUTE- PURI TO NIRAKARPUR VIA SUKAL, BIJIPUR AND BACK, SNEHASHIS SAMANTARAY OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02AS3009.

Applicant is represented by Advocate ShriJ.N.Mohanty. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

36. ROUTE- GOBINDPUR TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA NISCHINTAKOILI, SALEPUR AND BACK, JYOTI PRAKASH MALLICK OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AP5597.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty.
Shri A.C.Rout, owner of vehicle No.OR05AG-7406 filed an objection. He stated that there is direct clash of time at Pattamundai i.e. at 10.58AM. Therefore, the applicant may be given in any vacant slot.

Applicant stated that he has applied in vacant slot No.130A from Pattamundai and slot No.197A from Cuttack. This may be verified.

37. ROUTE- MARSHAGHAI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA CHANDIKHOLE, CHATIA AND BACK, JYOTIRANJAN PARIDA OWNER OF VEHICLE KA07A1000.

Applicant is absent. There is one objection filed by Raza Khan, owner of vehicle No.OR05AC-2777 represented by Advocate K.Mohammed. He stated that there is clash of time at Duhuria, Balichandrapur, Krushnadaspur and Chandikhole i.e. 1-3 minutes gap. The applicant has applied without vacant slot. Since the vehicle has not been reassigned with Odisha registration number this should not be considered.

38. ROUTE- NANDANKANAN TO BALUGAON VIA JANLA, KHURDA BY PASS AND BACK, LAKSHMIDHAR KHUNTIA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AH6929.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that he has applied for tourist purpose from Nandankanan. There is no objection. However, it may be granted from Baramunda to Balugaon.

39. ROUTE- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO PURI VIA KALPANA, PIPILI AND BACK, SAMARENDRA PATNAIK OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05M7777.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. There is no objection. Applicant wanted to change the slot 49 instead of 149 from Cuttack as slot no. 149 has already been filled up. He further stated that as per the vacant slots available in the final rationalisation chart, the applicant proposes to depart Cuttack at 7.15AM in vacant slot No.49 to reach Puri at 9.51 and to depart there from at 13.02 in vacant slot No.174 to reach Bhubaneswar at 15.23hrs. and to depart there from at 16.09hrs in the vacant slot No.238 to reach Puri at 18.30hrs. and to depart Puri at 19.00hrs. in the vacant slot No.305 to reach Cuttack at 21.36 hrs and to make its night halt. He requested that he may be given TP in proposed vacant slots 49 from Cuttack, 174 and 305 from Puri and 238 from Baramunda. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.
40. ROUTE - NADAHURI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA NEULAPUR, CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, SAROJ KANTA BEHERA OWNER OF VEHICLE ORO9N0526.

Applicant is present. Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows:
1. Shri G.P.Pati, owner of vehicle No. ORO9Q-6427 represented by Advocate K. Mohammed stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack, Manguli, Chhatia and Chandikhole i.e. the time gap is only 3 to 5 minutes only. Applicant may be given timings behind his vehicle. He further stated that the applied route falls in rationalised route from Cuttack to Kuakhia covering major portion of the route applied by the applicant.
2. Shri S.K. Rout, owner of vehicle No. ORO4D-5591 stated that there is clash of time at J.K. Road towards Cuttack.
3. Jharana Rout, owner of vehicle No. ODO4J-4691 stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack i.e. five minutes ahead of her service.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

41. ROUTE - RENGALI DAM TO SAMBALPUR VIA TILEIBANI, KANSAR AND BACK, RAJENDRA KUMAR BEHERA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15M2321.

Applicant is present. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

42. ROUTE - OLAVER TO ROURKELA VIA JAJPUR TOWN, KEONJHAR AND BACK, SK MASUD OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02F9376.

Applicant is present. He stated that this is the alter service of OD02F-9377. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

43. ROUTE - DHAMARA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BHANDARIPOKHARI, PANIKOILI AND BACK, RATIKANTA PARIDA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD22B7727.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri K.C. Das. Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows:
1. Santilata Choudhury, owner of vehicle No. ODO4K-1125 represented by Advocate Shri Sabyasachi Mishra stated that there is clash of timing at Cuttack
up to Bhadrak. His dep. time at Cuttack in down trip is 15.10hrs whereas the applicant has applied at 15.09hrs. i.e. only one minute gap. Hence, he requested that the applicant may be given twenty minutes gap after her service from Cuttack. It is alleged that the applicant has P.P. and not surrendered. This is also a rationalised route.

This may be examined and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

44. ROUTE - BATTO TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA JARAKA, CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, MR LAXMIDHAR NATH OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05T7473.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that he has applied from J.K. Road. He has applied in a diversion route as J.K. Road is under rationalisation route.

There is no objection. It will be granted subject to replacement of higher model vehicle as his vehicle is 2004 model.

45. ROUTE - GOUDPUT TO PHULABANI VIA PAHANDA, GALLERY AND BACK, BALAGOPAL PATRA OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02AM9779.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

46. ROUTE - MAHULDIHA TO MANDIAPADA VIA GADAMA, BUDHIKUNTI AND BACK, KUMADINI MAHAKUL OWNER OF VEHICLE OR15R4989.

Applicant is represented by her husband Shri Sumant Mahakul. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

47. ROUTE - BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO NARSINGH PUR VIA PANCHAGAON, TIGIRIA AND BACK, MOHARANA SANTOSH KUMAR OWNER OF VEHICLE OD33V0367.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri S.P. Moharana. Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows:

1. Pravasini Dwibedi, owner of vehicle No. OR05AQ-2939 is represented by her husband Shri B.N. Dwibedi stated that there is clash of timing at Narasinghpur. His vehicle is departing Narasinghpur at 14.05 whereas the applicant has applied at 14.04 which is just one minute ahead of his service. He also stated that there is no vacant slot.
2. Shri M.K. Mishra, owner of vehicle No. OR05AY-9677 represented by Advocate Shri S.S. Mishra stated that the timing and route applied by the applicant in up trip is sixteen minutes ahead of his service at Badamba. Since this is a rationalised route, TP may not be considered. If, TP will be considered, then it may be as first come last go in the rationalised route and this may be considered in any vacant slot.

Applicant has agreed to change the timing and route via Kantilo in revised timing.

48. ROUTE- ADAKATA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA PATHARACHAKADA, FATEGARH AND BACK, MANASI MANJARI MOHAPATRA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02AH2464.

Applicant is represented by her husband Shri Sarada Prasad Mohapatra. There is one objection filed by Shri R.K. Singh, owner of vehicle No. OD05E-0799 through advocate M.B.K. Rao. He stated that there is clash of timing at Kantilo and Kalapathar. Applicant has applied ten minutes ahead of his service. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

49. ROUTE- BANKAKHAJURI TO KEONJHAR VIA KUPARI, BARTANA AND BACK, PRAKASH CHANDRA JENA OWNER OF VEHICLE OR22E5783.

Applicant is absent. Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows:

1. Shri A.K. Sahu, owner of vehicle No. OR01K-9777 is represented by Advocate Shri D.B. Das and Associates. He stated that there is clash of timing at Soro. Applicant has applied to depart Soro at 6:19 whereas his departure time is 6:30. At Khaira the departure time gap comes to 26 minutes. Further, he stated that the Banka Khejury is inside the Balasore town. The applicant has chosen this starting point to avoid objections from Balasore operators. The permit may not be granted from Banka Khejury.

2. Shri Firoj Kumar Sahu, owner of vehicle No. OR09N-4401 is represented by his son Shri Abhishek Sahu stated that there is clash of time from Keonjhar to Ghatagaon. His service is departing from Keonjhar at 12:30PM whereas the applicant has applied at 12:29PM which is just one minutes ahead of his service. He requested that applicant may be granted TP after his service.
50. ROUTE- GOLAMUNDA TO TITILAGARH VIA CHANDUTARA, SINDHEKELA AND BACK DEBASIS NAYAK OWNER OF VEHICLE OR03F4666.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection. This may be considered after verification of clash free timing.

51. ROUTE- NARENDRAPUR TO PARADIP VIA TARTOL ,TIRTOL AND BACK, DALI ROUT OWNER OF VEHICLE OR21A1717.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

52. ROUTE- CHANDBALI TO TENSA VIA PANIKOILI,KEONJHAR AND BACK BIRENDRA JAMUDA, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD04B1257.

Applicant is present. There is one objection filed by Masud Allam, owner of vehicle No. OR09L-3041 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that at Barbil and Joda, there is clash of timing. His service is departing Barbil at 17.15 whereas the applicant has applied at 17.18. Similarly, his service is departing Joda at 17.55 whereas applicant has applied at 17.46 by overtaking at Joda. He stated that applicant may be granted TP after his service.

Applicant stated that this is alter service of vehicle No. OD04F-3535. This may be verified before consideration of Permit to the applicant.

53. ROUTE- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO TALCHER VIA BANRAPAL , NALCO COLONY AND BACK, SUDHANSU SEKHAR DAS OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05W2835.

Applicant is present and stated that he will cancel the R.C. Hence, the applicant may be treated as withdrawn.

54. ROUTE- SAMBALPUR TO DAMANJODI VIA BHAWANIPATANA , PAPADAHANDI AND BACK, RITA MISHRA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15J4895.

Applicant is represented by her husband Shri N.K. Mishra. There is one objection filed by Sk. Kasim, owner of vehicle No. OD10J-3161 through Advocate Shri A.K. Behera. He stated that at Koraput, there is clash of time. His service is departing at Koraput at 15.25 whereas applicant has applied at 15.24 i.e. just one minutes ahead of his service. He stated that applicant may be considered after his service. Applicant also agreed to ply his service after vehicle of objector.
This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

55. ROUTE: BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO BALASORE VIA PANIKOILI, BHADRAK AND BACK, MR BHABATOSH NAYAK OWNER OF VEHICLE OR04K8844.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri S.S. Mishra. He stated that as it is a rationalised route, this case may be considered in first come last go basis.

Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows:

1. Pranati Samal, owner of vehicle No. OD02AK-2777 is represented by Advocate Shri D.B. Das stated that there is clash of time at Balasore, Soro and Bhadrakh. At Balasore, the time gap is thirteen minutes, at Soro the gap is only two minutes and at Bhadrak, the gap is only six minutes.

2. A. Chiranjib, owner of vehicle No. OR01L-2323 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K. Rao stated that no fresh route permit is being granted covering the rationalised corridor, Bhubaneswar/Cuttack-Baripada on the ground of non-finalisation of rationalisation process as it will be against the public notice as published in newspaper dt. 4.11.15. Besides, the proposed timing given by the applicant is directly clashing the timing of his service from Bhubaneswar to Balasore. Hence, he requested that TP to the applicant's vehicle may not be considered.

3. Urmila Mahala, owner of vehicle No. OD05S-9192 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K. Rao stated that no fresh route permit is being granted covering the rationalised corridor, Bhubaneswar/Cuttack-Baripada on the ground of non-finalisation of rationalisation process as it will be against the public notice as published in newspaper dt. 4.11.15. Besides, the proposed timing given by the applicant is directly clashing the timing of his service from Bhubaneswar to Balasore. Hence, he requested that TP to the applicant’s vehicle may not be considered.

4. Mirza Gausar Ali Beg, owner of vehicle No. OD05V-0717 stated that there is clash of time from Soro to Bhadrakh.

This may be verified.
56. ROUTE- CHANDABALI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA DUHURIA, BALICHANDRAPUR AND BACK, PRANATI NALINI PATI OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05L7799.

Applicant is present. There are two objections. Since this is a 2001 model vehicle, this may not be considered.

57. ROUTE- JHARSUGUDA TO SUNDARGARH VIA BHEDABAHAL, KIRAI AND BACK, DILIP SAHU OWNER OF VEHICLE OD23C1381.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free timing.

58. ROUTE- BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO DARINGBADI VIA BALIPADAR, KENDUPADAR AND BACK, MR SURENDRRA DAKUA OWNER OF VEHICLE OR12B2020.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows:

1. Shri B.N. Chhualsingh, owner of vehicle No. OD05B-1055 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K. Rao stated that there is clash of time at Bhanjanagar. The applicant has proposed ten minutes ahead of his service. Similarly, the time gap at Buguda and Odagaon comes to twentytwo minutes and six minutes respectively.
2. Snehanjali Acharya, owner of vehicle No. OR02BH-8323 is represented by her husband Shri Tushar Kanta Acharya stated that there is clash of time between Odagaon to Sarankul. The applicant has proposed to ply her vehicle and mentioned one hour time to cover Odagaon to Sarankul which is only 13kms. Similarly, the applicant has mentioned one hour forty minutes to cover Odagaon to Godipada which is only 20 kms. distance. This may be verified. Applicant has also proposed ten minutes ahead of his service during day time. This may be verified.

59. ROUTE- NUHATA TO BOUDH VIA THAKURGARH, ATTHAMALLIK AND BACK, SUBRAT PATTNAIK OWNER OF VEHICLE OD19P3777.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri J.N. Mohanty. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.
60. ROUTE - BOLANGIR TO BALASORE VIA KHURDHA, BUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) AND BACK, TRINATH PATRA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD14N8500.

Applicant is present. Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows:

1. Shri Mayadhar Prusty, owner of vehicle No. OD05S-3199 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K. Rao stated that since the route from Bhubaneswar to Balasore is under rationalisation process, Permit may not be considered.

2. Anupama Rout, owner of vehicle No. OD04N-0091 is represented by her husband Shri S.K. Rout stated that there is clash of time at Cuttack to Balasore. Applicant's vehicle will depart ten minutes before of his service.

61. ROUTE - PALALAHARHA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BANRAPAL, GUDIAKATENI AND BACK LAXMIDHAR MOHAPATRA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AN5475.

Applicant is present and withdrawn the application.

62. ROUTE - PADAMPUR TO DAINLO VIA CHAULIA, PALASUDHA AND BACK, NARASINGHASUAR, OWNER OF VEHICLE OR14N9180.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Mohanty. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

63. ROUTE - KALASPUR TO BHUBANESWAR VIAPATTAMUNDAI, KENDRAPARA AND BACK, JAYA PRAKASH MALLICK OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05C1201.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that he has applied in slot No. 214 from Pattamundai and slot No. 99A from Cuttack. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

64. ROUTE - BUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) TO ANGUL VIADHENKANAL, BANRAPAL AND BACK, BIBHUTI BHUSAN JETHI OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AN0644.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri A.K. Behera.

There is one objection filed by Shri P.P. Sarangi, owner of vehicle No. OD05S-1655 represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of timing at Cuttack i.e. only two minutes gap. His vehicle is departing Cuttack at 10.30AM whereas applicant has applied at 10.32AM.
Moreover, this is a rationalised route and this may be considered after rationalisation process is over and notified.

65. ROUTE- KANTEIPALLI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA KODALA, KHALIKOTE CHHAKA AND BACK, PRADYUMNA KUMAR BARALA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD11F0339.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows:

1. Laxmi Priya Mohanty, owner of vehicle No. OD02BB-8233 represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K. Rao stated that the proposed vehicle applied by the applicant is a sleeper coach and permit may not be considered.

2. Sasmita Sahu, owner of vehicle No. OD02AC-3132 represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K. Rao stated that the proposed vehicle is a sleeper coach and permit may not be considered. Besides there is clash of time at Balugaon.

3. Sasmita Pattnaik, owner of vehicle No. OD02M-5827 stated that there is direct clash of time at Bhubaneswar point.

4. Sasmita Sahu, owner of vehicle No. OR02AS-0025 stated that the time gap at Tangi and other stoppages is only four minutes.

5. Secretary, Bus Owners Association, Balugaon has forwarded three applications of owners of vehicle No. OD02M-5827, and OD02C-7777 stating that there is clash of timings given by the applicant at different stoppages.

6. Shri S.N. Panda, owner of vehicle No. OR02AZ-5199 stated that there is clash of time at Bhubaneswar which is only five minutes gap and is covering up to Khalikote which is 113 kms.

This may be verified. Also it may be verified whether the vehicle of applicant is a sleeper coach or not.

66. ROUTE- BAUNSUNI TO AINTHAPALI VIA THENGA, DAMSITE AND BACK, ARUN KUMAR JENA OWNER OF VEHICLE OR23E3777.

Applicant is present. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.
67. ROUTE- BALIGUDA TO KESINGA VIA M RAMPUR, JORADOBRA AND BACK, JAYADEB PATEL OWNER OF VEHICLE OR08A8310.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. Since this is 2003 model vehicle, this may not be considered.

68. ROUTE- BARGOAN TO PALA LAHARHA VIA BHOJPUR, SIRIDI AND BACK, HIMANSU PATEL OWNER OF VEHICLE OD28A2311.

Applicant is present. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

69. ROUTE- SORADA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KODALA, KRUSHNAPUR AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR PATI OWNER OF VEHICLE OD07R1199.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

70. ROUTE- CHANDRAPUR TO BERHAMPUR VIA PANIGANDA, ADAVA AND BACK, DHARMENDRA PANIGRAHI OWNER OF VEHICLE OD07A5558.

Applicant is present. Following vehicles owners have given their objections as follows:

1. Shri N.Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OD07Q-6113 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao stated that at Berhampur, there is clash of time. His departure time at Berhampur is 14.36hrs. whereas the applicant has suggested at 14.30hrs just six minute ahead of his service.

2. Smt. K.Satyabati, owner of vehicle No.OR18-6669 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao stated that the departure time of her vehicle at Berhampur and Adava is same. The applicant may be given TP after her service.

3. Shri S.Simanchal Prusty, owner of vehicle No.OD07N-6928 stated that his departure time at Berhampur is 14.55hrs. whereas applicant has applied at 14.30hrs. towards Adava.

4. Shri Saroj Kumar Jena, owner of vehicle No.OD07L-7371 and Smt. Laxmi Jena, owner of vehicle No.OR07Q-4805 are represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty stated that they are plying above two vehicles. There is clash of timing at Brahmanigaon up to Berhampur. The above two vehicles are departing Brahmanigaon at 9.15AM and 8.43AM respectively where as the applicant has
applied to depart Brahmanigaon at 8.35AM which is only eight minutes gap and will affect the timing of above two vehicles. He requested that the applicant may be allowed after his above two services i.e. after 9.15AM at Brahmanigaon towards Berhampur.

71. ROUTE- KANSAR TO BARGAON VIA KUCHINDA, KESIBAHAL AND BACK, SAROJKUMAR NAYAK OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15L8677.

Applicant is present. There is an online objection filed by Mohammad Gheysuddin, owner of vehicle No. OD14K-8986. He has stated that there is clash of time at Bamara at 7.50AM which is same time. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

72. ROUTE- JOGIAPALLI TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KALAPATHARA, GEDIAPALLI AND BACK, CHITTARANJAN MISHRA OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AH2033.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri K.C. Das. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

73. ROUTE- KAIPADA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KUAKHIA, CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, BASANTA KU PALEI OWNER OF VEHICLE OR04M4925.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri S.S. Mishra. He stated that earlier he has applied in this route which has not been considered.

Following vehicle owner have filed their objections as follows:

1. Shri Banka Bihari Das, owner of vehicle No. OR02AM-0323. He stated that there is clash of timing at Cuttack i.e. at 12.50PM in down trip which is same.

2. Shri S.N. Jena, owner of vehicle No. OD05AM-6090 has given an objection which is not specific. He has attached the timings of his vehicle as well as timings applied by the applicant. This may be verified.

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

Since the route is under process of rationalisation, his case may be considered after rationalisation is finalised.
74. **ROUTE:** BOUDH TO POKHARIGOCHHA VIA BADALA, KUJAMENDI AND BACK, SUDARSAN DAS OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15A6116.

Applicant is present. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

75. **ROUTE:** PHULABANI TO ANGUL VIA RAIRAKHOL, BAMUR AND BACK, KALLOLA KANTA SAHU OWNER OF VEHICLE OD19Q0096.

Applicant is present. Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows:

1. Shri Jatin Behera, owner of vehicle No. OD19K-6844 represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao stated that the departure time at Angul is five minutes gap. His service is departing at Angul is 13.35hrs. whereas the applicant has applied at 13.30hrs. He has requested that the applicant may be allowed after his service.

2. Shri M.K.Mohanty, stated that the above vehicle of applicant now plying on the route Krutibasipur to Pallahada without any permit. In this regard, he has submitted two photos.

RTO, Angul and Talcher may be asked to enquire into the matter and submit a report.

76. **ROUTE:** SUNDARGARH TO SINGHPUR VIA JHARSUGUDA, DHENKANAL AND BACK, BIKASH MAHAKUL OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15B7291.

Applicant is present and stated that this is alter service of SI.No.80 i.e. OR15R-7291. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

77. **ROUTE:** JATAMUNDIA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA BAGHAMARI, PUBUSAHI AND BACK, LAXMIPRIYA SENAPATI OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02AP4715.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. He stated that the applied vehicle is 2007 model and now he wants to change the vehicle of model 2012 bearing Regn. No. OR13H-4125. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free timings.
78. ROUTE- BHANJANAGAR TO PHULABANI VIA KARADA, RAIKIA AND BACK, SANTOSH KUMAR TRIPATHY OWNER OF VEHICLE OD07AA8855

Applicant is present. He stated that it is a ghat and single road. Sufficient running timing may be allowed one hour more time. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

79. ROUTE- SARAGAON TO PURI VIA BHADRAK, CUTTACK, BHUBANESWAR AND BACK, ABINASH CHANDRA SATAPATHY OWNER OF VEHICLE OD01AB4545

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri S.S.Mishra. He stated that this is a service of vehicle No. OD04J-1060. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

80. ROUTE- SUNDARGARH TO SINGHPUR VIA TALCHER, BANRAPAL AND BACK, BIKASH MAHAKUL OWNER OF VEHICLE OR15R7291

Applicant is present and stated that this is a service of SI No. 76 i.e. OD15B7291. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

81. ROUTE- SAMBALPUR TO PURI VIA CHHENDIPADA, ANGUL AND BACK, MOHAN KUMART MOHANTY OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AQ5574

Applicant is present. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

82. ROUTE- KALIAHATA TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA BATAGAON, KAMAKHYANAGAR AND BACK, LAXMIDHAR SWAIN OWNER OF VEHICLE OD047488

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri S.S.Mishra. He stated that this route is not coming under rationalised route. Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows:

1. Alaka Srichandan Ray, owner of vehicle No. OD19B-0026 represented by Advocate Shri B.K.Rao stated that at Pandua, there is clash of timing. His departure time at Pandua is 7.03AM where as applicant has proposed to depart at 6.56AM i.e. only seven minutes gap. He has requested that the applicant may be given after his service.
Applicant agreed to ply his vehicle after ten minutes time of the above objector. This may be verified.

83. ROUTE- DEOGARH TO SAMBALPUR VIA GODHIDIP, NAKTIDEOL AND BACK, HEMANTA KUMAR PRADHAN OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15N1455.

Applicant is present. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

84. ROUTE- BHUBAN TO KANTILO VIA ATHAGARH, ANSUPA AND BACK, RASHMITA CHAND OWNER OF VEHICLE OD19M6095.

Applicant is represented by her husband Shri T.R.Singh.

There is one objection filed by Sunanda Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR02AS-9664 represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. He stated that at Banki, there is clash of time. His departure time at Banki is 10.05AM whereas the applicant has applied at 9.52AM i.e. thirteen minutes gap. He requested that the applicant may be allowed TP after his service.

85. ROUTE- KUMUSI TO DUBURI VIA MATHAKARGOLA, GODA AND BACK, SURESH NAYAK OWNER OF VEHICLE OR21D0132.

Applicant is present. Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows:

1. Shri A.K.Mohapatra, owner of vehicle No.OR06J-1895 represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. He stated that at Kamakhyanagar, there is clash of time. His departure time at Kamakhyanagar is at 12.35PM whereas the applicant has applied at 12.37PM i.e. two minutes gap. He has also filed an online objection on the same points.

2. Shri A.Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR04K-4337 stated that at Kamakyanagar, there is clash of time. The proposed departure time given by the applicant at Kasmakyanagar is fourteen minutes ahead of his service. There is also jumping time at Bhuban. He requested that his vehicle may be allowed to depart Kamakhyanagar at 7.05AM and applicant may be allowed to depart Kamakhyanagar at 7.15AM.

3. Shri B.K.Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OD35A-3096 stated that, in return trip at Kamakhyanagar, the proposed timing given by the applicant is twenty minutes
ahead of his service. He requested that applicant may be allowed to depart Kamakhyanagar after fifteen to twenty minutes of his service.

He has also filed an online objection on clash of time at Talcher and Kamakhyanagar.

4. Shri P.K. Nath, owner of vehicle No. OD05F-8877 stated that there is clash of timing at Pandua.

Besides, there are objections filed online.

5. Sreedhar Samal, owner of vehicle No. OR06D-7527 has stated that applicant's vehicle proposed time is clashing with the vehicles at Bhuban 11.25.

6. Lambodhar Nayak, owner of vehicle No. OR06H-4909 has objected on clash of time at Bhuban 11.30, Kamakhyanagar, Parjang and Talcher.

7. Jyotsna Pani, owner of vehicle No. OR19C-3912 has objected on clash of time at Bhuban 12.20, Kamakhyanagar 13.20, Parjang 14.15.

8. Rudra Kumar Dalai, owner of vehicle No. OR19J-8874 has filed objection online stating that applicant's proposed time clashes at Bhuban. His time at Bhuban is 11.45.

9. Prasanna Nayak, owner of vehicle No. OD19B-6321 has filed objection on time that his vehicle's timing clashes at Kamakhyanagar.

86. ROUTE- SUGUDA TO ROURKELA VIA PURUNAPANI, TUNIAPALI AND BACK, SAROJ KUMAR DASH OWNER OF VEHICLE OR28-0990.

Applicant is present. Following vehicle owners have given their objections as follows:

1. Shri K. Panda, owner of vehicle No. OD-14N-8811 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Barkote in up trip. His vehicle is departing Barkote at 8.59AM whereas the applicant has applied to depart Barkote at 8.31AM i.e. twenty-eight minutes ahead of his service. But in the middle stoppages at Narendra, Rajamunda and Chandiposh, the time gap is decreased to four minutes gap. He requested that the applicant in its up trip from Barkote to Rourkela may be revised and it may be allowed to operate after his service keeping a minimum gap of fifteen minutes.

2. Shri A.K. Biswal, owner of vehicle No. OD14S-0979 is represented by Advocate Shri H.P. Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela. His departure time at Rourkela is 14.31hrs. whereas the applicant has applied at
14.23hrs. which is eight minutes ahead of his service. He requested that the applicant may be allowed after his service from Rourkela i.e. after 14.31 hrs.

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

87. ROUTE- RAJKANIKAT TO ROURKELA VIA SALEPUR AND BACK, RATNAKAR BAL OWNER OF VEHICLE OR05AU9225.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri Chandan Mishra. He stated that he has applied this TP to ply as alter service of OR05AT-0235. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

88. ROUTE- SONEPUR TO ROURKELA VIA DHANUPALI AND BACK, PRAMOD RANJAN SAHOO OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15N1419.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri A.K. Behera. There is one online objection filed by the owner of vehicle No.OR16B-8899 (Indrajit Singh).

The objection is that applicant’s vehicle will depart Rourkela 01 minute after his service 9.40 but will arrive Ranibandha 10 to 15 minutes before.

Besides, there is another objection filed online by Shri Sukhjinder Singh, owner of vehicle No.OR15D-4334. He stated that in up trip the applicant has proposed to depart Kutra just two minutes before his service. His bus is departing at 13.45 hrs. towards Rourkela from Kutra on the same route. He requested that the applicant may be allowed to leave Kutra towards Rourkela after five minutes of his service.

TP may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

89. ROUTE- TUSURA TO MANESWAR VIA BARGARH, ATTABIRA AND BACK, SATYENDRA KARNA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD17Q2546.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri A.K. Behera. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

90. ROUTE- BARPALI TO ROURKELA VIA LAIDA, LAIKERA AND BACK, SUBRAT KUMAR DASH, OWNER OF VEHICLE OD17Q5966.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri A.K. Behera. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.
91. ROUTE- BHAWANIPATANA TO BISSAMCUTTACK VIA POKHARIBANDHA, BISWANATHPUR AND BACK, ABHISEK HOTA OWNER OF VEHICLE OR26A2206.

Applicant is present. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

92. ROUTE- TOPADIHI TO KUKUDAMUNDA VIA LATHIKATA, ROURKELA AND BACK, JOHN TIRKEY OWNER OF VEHICLE OR14U1832.

Applicant is present.

There is one objection filed by Shri S.K.Mohanty, owner of vehicle No.OR05Z-7005 represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. He stated that there is clash of time at Rourkela. His departure time from Rourkela is 14.20hrs whereas the applicant has applied to depart Rourkela at 14.25hrs. Hence, he requested that the departure time given by the applicant at Rourkela may be revised after his service.

93. ROUTE- CHAPARIA TO MALKANGIRI VIA BHAWANIPATNA, NABARANGPUR AND BACK, SUNANDA DASH OWNER OF VEHICLE OD08A2274.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri J.N.Mohanty. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

94. ROUTE- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO TARASAHI VIA JAGATSINGHPUR, MACHHAGAON AND BACK, BIBHUTI BHUSAN GRAHACHARYA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD21J7835.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty.

There is one objection filed by Shri Saroj Pattnaik, owner of vehicle No.OD05AB-7262 represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. He stated that if the applicant has applied in any vacant slot, he has no objection.

Applicant stated that he has applied in vacant slot No.440 from Jagatsinghpur, slot No.47 from Paradeep and from Jagatsinghpur, he has applied in last slot.

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time and vacant slots.
95. ROUTE- TELKOI TO ANGUL VIA SAMAL, TALCHER AND BACK, SANTOSH MOHARANA OWNER OF VEHICLE OR19G0571.

Applicant is present. There is one objection filed by Smt. Sandhya Rani Satapathy, owner of vehicle No. OR19M-6389 and OD19B-5389 represented by Advocate Shri K.C. Das. He stated that prior to lifting of PP, he had obtained permit from RTA, Angul having Pallahra departure time 12.45PM but when he lifted PP on the same route from STA, the Pallahara departure by mistake mentioned as 13.45hrs. instead of 12.45PM. Now the applicant has applied to depart Pallahara at 12.40PM which is only five minutes gap. In this regard, he has applied to the Secretary, STA for revision of time at Pallahara from 13.45 to 12.45 as it was in the previous permit issued by the RTA, Angul.

Similarly, his another vehicle No. OD19B-5389 is also plying on the route Sansamara to Angul via Rengali. His above vehicle is departing Pabitranagar at 6.25AM whereas the applicant has applied at 6.00AM just twenty-five minutes ahead of his service. He further stated that, the vehicle of the applicant is given on rent to Jindal. It may be verified.

Hence, he requested that the applicant may be given time after his above two services. This may be verified and TP may be considered after verification of clash free time.

96. ROUTE- BARGARH TO MACHHAGAON VIA DHENKANAL, CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) AND BACK, SAUDAMINI DORA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15H6888.

Applicant is absent. There is no objection.

97. ROUTE- CUTTACK TO TITILAGARH VIA BHANJANAGAR AND BACK, PRAMOD KUMAR RAY OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02BS3051.

Applicant is present. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

98. ROUTE- CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO TITILAGARH VIA G.UDAYAGIRI, RAIKIA AND BACK, ROUTE-PRAMOD KUMAR RAY OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02BS3099.

Applicant is present. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.
99. ROUTE - ASURKHOL TO SAMBALPUR VIA KUCHINDA, BHOJPUR AND BACK, NARESH KUMAR LAHA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD15N2380.

Applicant is present. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

100. ROUTE - TELKOI TO CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) VIA TATAMINES, SUKINDA AND BACK, SUDHIR CHARAN MOHANTY OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05D0735.

Applicant is absent. There is one objection given by Shri P.K.Sharma, owner of vehicle No.OR05AE-5851. He stated that, there is clash of timing from Duburi to Cuttack i.e. same time.

This may be examined.

101. ROUTE - JAJPUR ROAD TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA JARAKA, CHANDIKHOLE AND BACK, ASHOK KUMAR NAYAK OWNER OF VEHICLE OD04A8015.

Applicant is represented by his son Shri Prasanta Kumar Nayak. There is one objection filed by Premalata Rout, owner of vehicle No OR04J- 0085. She stated that there is clash of time at Jajpur Road. Her service is departing Jajpur Road at 6.30AM where is the applicant has proposed at 6.24AM which is six minutes ahead of her service. She requested to revise the departure time of the applicant.

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

102. ROUTE - SERAGADA TO BHUBANESWAR (BARAMUNDA) VIA KHALIKOTE CHHAKA, BALUGAON AND BACK, LAXMIPRIYA MOHANTY OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BB8233.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao.

There is one online objection filed by Smt.Pankajini Panda, owner of vehicle No OD32A-4535. She has been also represented by Advocate Shri H.P.Mohanty. It is stated that, there is clash of time at Aska 5.05. Applicant has applied for 4.55 ten minutes ahead of his service. His vehicle time at Khalikote is 6.35 but the applicant has proposed at 6.25He requested that, applicant may be allowed ten minutes after her service.

This may be verified.
103. ROUTE - BALUGAON TO UDAYPUR AND BACK, NAJIR KHAN OWNER OF VEHICLE OD01U7786.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. He stated that earlier, he was granted permit on the route Balugaon to Mohanty Chhak as alter service of OR05AW-2643 which was valid upto 16.11.18. Before expiry of TP, he had applied for grant of PP on 31.10.19 online in OPMS and PP was granted vide order dt.2.4.19. Without issuing the PP, the permit file of the petitioner was again processed manually in PIMS and same was denied by the authority on the ground of non-operation of the bus during TP period. The data is not available in the data base which has been erased. He has requested that this may be enquired. Due to non-available of data in data base, he has applied again for TP. In the mean time, the objection was raised by the owner of vehicle No.OR05AW-2643 which has been granted permit in the same time. Following vehicle owners have given objections as follows:-

1. Shri D.B.Sahu, owner of vehicle No.OR05AW-2643 stated that the applicant has applied in the same time. He also stated that there is an alter service of vehicle No. OR05AW-2643 is also operating in this route.

2. Shri D.K. Periwal, owner of vehicle No.OD01-3787 represented by Advocate Shri K.C.Das stated that there is clash of time at Balipal and Bhubaneswar in up trip and also there is clash of time at Cuttack while going to Kalupada. His dep. time is at 21.50hrs. whereas the applicant has applied at 21.50hrs. He requested that the applicant may be given time after his service.

3. Shri B.Gaana, owner of vehicle No.ODO5H-2888 represented by Advocate Shri J.N.Mohanty stated that there is clash of time from Balugaon till Udayapur. The applicant may be allowed TP after his service as he is a senior operator.

104. ROUTE - CUTTACK (BADAMBADI) TO KAKATPUR VIA ADASPUR , NIALI AND BACK PUSPANJALI MISHRA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD025755.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. He stated that except first trip timings to depart Cuttack at 5.35AM, the applicant has applied in same time. Besides, there is another applicant i.e. owner of vehicle No. OD05AN-8201 has applied vide sl.No.270 for allotment of slot Nos.17 from
Kakatpur, 32 from Cuttack and 48 from Astaranga which is same time. However, a VCR has been issued on 26.8.19 against the vehicle of applicant on the ground that the vehicle was plying without permit. This may be verified from the system.

105. ROUTE- GOBINDPUR TO PURI VIA DIHASAHI, JAGATSINGHPUR AND BACK
SUNIL KUMAR PADHI OWNER OF VEHICLE OD05AQ8039.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri K.C.Das. There is one objection is filed by Shri P.K.Nayak, owner of vehicle No.OR07F-4509. He stated that the vehicle is of 1995 model i.e. 24 years old vehicle. PP should not be considered. Besides, he stated that his departure time at Cuttack is 16.55hrs. whereas the applicant has applied at 17.06 i.e. eleven minutes after his service. He requested that the applicant may be allowed in any vacant slot.

Applicant stated that one bus is running from Jagatsinghpur to Cuttack and he has applied on the route from Cuttack to Puri.

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

106. ROUTE- ARJUNPUR TO BARGARH Via LOISINGHA, RAMPUR AND BACK,
SANTOSH KUMAR PUROHIT OWNER OF VEHICLE OR03G3428.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri J.N.Mohanty. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

107. ROUTE- PARALAKHEMUNDI TO GUMUDA VIA CIALI, VALLARHA AND BACK,
SIMANCHALA MOHANTY OWNER OF VEHICLE OD18F6309

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri K.Mohammed. Following objectors have given their objections as follows:

1. Shri E.V.Rao, owner of vehicle No.OD18E-4689 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. He stated that at Parlakhemundi, there is clash of timing upto Gunupur. His departure time at Parlakhemundi is 15.35hrs whereas applicant has suggested to depart Parlakhemundi at 15.30hrs. Therefore, he requested that the applicant may be given time after his service.
2. Shri J.P. Rao, owner of vehicle No. AP31TD-8874 is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K. Rao. He stated that, at Gunupur there is clash of timing. His service is departing Gunupur at 1.00PM towards Parlakhemundi whereas the applicant has proposed to leave at Gunupur at 12.38PM towards Parlakhemundi i.e. only twenty-two minutes ahead of his service. Hence, he has requested to allow TP to the applicant after his service.

There is also another vehicle bearing Regn. No. OR20-2901 plying in this route which is departing Gunupur at 12.40PM.

Applicant stated that he has applied in an enclave route. There is common corridor from Kasinagar to Gunupur. Applicant further stated that the alignment of route applied for is different.

This may be verified.

108. ROUTE- CHANTIPALI TO BURLA VIA BUDHIPADAR, JHARSUGUDA AND BACK, HARIHAR MISHRA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD23H3907.

Applicant is present. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

109. ROUTE- JANTARI TO BIRAJA VIA KESHDURAPAL, DEOGAON AND BACK, SANJU MAHESWARI OWNER OF VEHICLE OD042879.

Applicant is represented by Advocate K. Mohammed. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

110. ROUTE- PADAMPUR TO DAMANJODI VIA MOTER, AMPANI AND BACK, SARAT KUMAR BADAPANDA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD17R9244.

Applicant is represented by Advocate K. Mohammed. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

111. ROUTE- PADAMPUR TO DAMANJODI VIA NABARANGPUR AND BACK, SARAT KUMAR BADPANDA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD17Q9244.

Applicant is represented by Advocate K. Mohammed. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.
112. ROUTE- DAMAHUDA TO KEONJHAR VIA SINGADA, CHADHEIBHOL AND BACK, RANGADHAR SETHY OWNER OF VEHICLE OR02BH5699.

Applicant is present. There is one objection filed by Shri S.K.Majhi, owner of vehicle No.OD09F-1887 represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. He stated that there is clash of time at Keonjhar. His departure time at Keonjhar is at 16.00hrs whereas the applicant has applied at 15.50 hrs. Hence, he requested that the applicant may be considered after his service.

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

113. ROUTE- DASHAPALLA TO BERHAMPUR VIA TILISINGI, BHANJANAGAR AND BACK, SMT SABITA RANI PANDA OWNER OF VEHICLE OR07T5558.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. There is one objection filed by Shri A.K.Routray, owner of vehicle No.OR11G-3535 is represented by Advocate Shri S.S.Mishra. He stated that there is clash of time at Dasapalla in up trip. The applicant has applied to leave Dasapalla at 7.35AM whereas the applicant has applied at 8.00AM. Though his vehicle is departing twenty-five minutes after the service of the present applicant, but his vehicle will have to overtake the applicant’s vehicle in between Dasapalla and Gayaganda to catch its time.

This may be verified and considered subject to verification of clash free time.

114. ROUTE- BADA INDRAGADA TO BERHAMPUR VIA K.BERHAMPUR, GOBARA AND BACK, SATISH KUMAR PANDA OWNER OF VEHICLE OR07W0599.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao.

Following vehicles owners have given their objections as follows:

1. Shri S.K.Parida, owner of vehicle No.OD07L-5699 stated that there is clash of time at Berhampur point. His service is departing Berhampur at 11.50AM whereas the applicant has applied at 11.50 i.e. just five minutes ahead of his service which is covering upto 95 kms.

2. Shri A.S.K.Patra, owner of vehicle No.OR07V-3007 and OR07K-7057 stated that at Bhanjanagar, there is clash of time. His above two vehicles are plying from Badagi to Berhampur and Lalsingh to Berhampur and back under
RTA permit. The applicant has applied timing in between timing of his above two vehicles. He has stated that the departure timing at Bhanagarare 7.10AM and 7.20AM respectively in respect of his above two vehicles. But, the applicant has applied at 7.15AM. He requested that, he has no objection, if the applicant shall be allowed after his service.

This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

115. ROUTE- PENTHA TO Bhubaneswar (Baramunda) VIA Duhuria Balichandrapur and Back, Madan Mohan Shoo Owner of Vehicle OR05AG6751.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri K.C.Das. He stated that in up trip, he has applied in slot No.62. But another vehicle has changed the slot of his vehicle from slot No.74 to 62. Hence, slot no.74 is lying vacant in up trip from Pattamundai and slot no.117 down trip from Cuttack as OR02AA-3857 has vacated slot No.117 and taken slot No.109 in down trip from Cuttack. Accordingly, he has submitted a modified time.

There is no objection. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time and vacant slot may be allotted.

116. ROUTE- Baliguda to Rampur Via Kantamal, Palasguda and Back, Sudarsan Panda Owner of Vehicle OR03D7574.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri A.K.Behera. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

117. ROUTE- Daringbadi to Bissamcuttack Via Bataguda, Kurtamgada and Back, Kali Baliarasingh Owner of Vehicle OD12A4581.

Applicant is present. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.

118. ROUTE- Banpur to Phulabani Via Nuagaon, Dasapalla and Back, Sonali Jena Owner of Vehicle OD02AH8004.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K Rao. There is no objection. This may be considered subject to verification of clash free time.
119. **ROUTE** - PADAMPUR TO BIRAMAHARAJPUR VIA BARPALI, RAMPUR AND BACK, 
JITU PRADHAN OWNER OF VEHICLE CG10G0866.

Applicant is absent. Since this is a other state registration vehicle, this may not be considered.

120 & 121. **ROUTE** - KALAMPUR TO BUBANESWAR VIA BHANJANAGAR AND BACK, 
ANASUYYA PANDA OWNER OF VEHICLE OD02BD2199.

Applicant is represented by Advocate Shri M.B.K.Rao. He stated that this is the alter service of OD02BD-2599 applied vide Sl.No.121. There is one objection filed by Shri S.Chhualsingh, owner of vehicle No.OR11G-5561 represented by Advocate K.Mohammed. He stated that there is clash of time from Bhubaneswar up to Baliguda. He has stated that the applicant has suggested departure time at Bhubaneswar at six minutes before his service and after 10 kms, the applicant’s vehicle will overtake which is jumping time. Hence, he requested that the applicant may be allowed after his service.
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